SOLID WASTE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING #4

WELCOMING COMMENTS

Updates re: City activities impacting the SWRMP

Agenda review and desired outcomes poll

Review of April 23rd meeting summary

RESIDENTIAL OPTIONS: ADVISORY COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

	Residential Sector Options	Advisory Committee Feedback
	Year-Round Residential Compost Collection	• Strong support
	Curbside Textile Collection	 Generally supported A few questions about impact on reuse outlets and what happens to collected material
	Bulky Waste Collection	 Mixed support Concerns raised about what would be collected and how costly it may be
	E-Waste and HHW Collection	 Mixed support Not sure it is needed, given other options available Concerns / questions raised about risks or liability issues and cost

COMMERCIAL OPTIONS: ADVISORY COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

	Commercial Sector Options	Advisory Committee Feedback				
	FOG Management	Generally supported				
	Commercial Organics Collection	Strong support, especially if focused on larger food-oriented businesses				
	 Student Move-In / Move-Out Collection Limited support - need was questioned due to current temporal drop off location at University & Tappan Concerns raised about diverting reusable materials 					
	C&D Waste	 Generally supported, with need for more data before setting policy Limited processing infrastructure available, this will be a longer-term implementation item for the diversion element 				
	Commercial Services Participation Enforcement	Strong supportQuestions raised about perceived high cost				

DOWNTOWN / ALLEY OPTIONS: ADVISORY COMMITTEE FEEDBACK

Downtown / Alley Collection Service Improvement Options	Advisory Committee Feedback
Alt. A - 7-Day Collection, Mandatory Saturday & Sunday for Restaurants / Bars	Strong supportRequired minimum level of service should be specified
Alt. B - Consolidated Containers and 7-Day Collection with Special Assessment	Strong support
Alt. C - Consolidated Underground Containers and 7-Day Collection with Special Assessment	 Limited support; may be interest on a small pilot level Concerns about cleanliness around containers and ability to service
Alt. D - Bag-Based Collection with Twice Daily Pickup	 Nearly all opposed Concerns raised regarding cleanliness / bag breakage / rats, ability to service, and aesthetics

Solid Waste Resources

REVIEW OF FUNDING OPTIONS

	Factors for Consideration	Property Tax Millage	User Fee	Blended (Millage + User Fee)	
	Funding stability / reliability	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	
	Transparency	No	Yes	Maybe	
	Flexibility / adjustability	No	Yes	Yes	
	Reflective of differences between customers	Yes	Yes (if rate is variable)	Yes	
	Familiarity / consistency with other services	Yes	Yes	No	
ô	Customer support	Yes	Yes (if rate is variable)	Not tested	

REVIEW OF SERVICE DELIVERY OPTIONS

Factors for Consideration	City-Performed	Contracted Provider		
Absorption of cost increases	No	Yes		
Realization of cost savings	Yes	No		
Flexibility / adjustability	Yes	No		
Control over quality of service	Yes	Maybe		
Potential for cost-efficiencies	No	Yes		

BASELINE ASSUMPTIONS APPLIED TO ALL RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Revenues increase to sustain current services and fund new services

2. Customer service is revamped / overhauled

3. Operational improvements and upgrades to sustain current programs continue

4. Services are streamlined and consolidated

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS: RESIDENTIAL SECTOR

		Expected Benefits						
Recommendation	Estimated Annual Direct Cost	Increase Diversion	Reduce Toxics	Expand Services	Address Need	Reduce GHGs	Operating Efficiency	
Residential								
R.1. Year-round compost collection	\$140,000	✓		✓	✓	✓		
R.2. Curbside textile collection	\$0	✓		✓				
R.3. Bulky item collection	\$360,000			✓	✓			
R.4 / R.5. E-waste and HHW	\$0	✓	✓					
R.6. Service consolidation	(\$350,000)						✓	

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS: COMMERCIAL SECTOR

		Expected Benefits						
Recommendation	Estimated Annual Direct Cost	Increase Diversion	Reduce Toxics	Expand Services	Address Need	Reduce GHGs	Operating Efficiency	
Commercial								
C.1. FOG management	\$10,000 (Impl.) \$20,000 (Annual)	✓						
C.2. Commercial organics collection	\$520,000	✓		✓	✓	✓		
C.3. Student move-in / move-out	\$50,000			✓	✓			
C.4. C&D tracking	\$10,000 (Impl.) \$48,000 (Annual)	✓		✓				
C.5. Commercial ordinance enforcement	\$1,540,000 - \$1,665,000	✓		✓				

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS: DOWNTOWN / ALLEYS

	K	Expected Benefits						
Recommendation	Estimated Annual Direct Cost	Increase Diversion	Reduce Toxics	Expand Services	Address Need	Reduce GHGs	Operating Efficiency	
Downtown								
D.1. 7-day collection	\$330,000			✓	✓			
D.2. Container consolidation (plan / design)	\$25,000, plus construction TBD (one-time cost)				✓	✓	~	
D.3. Cost allocation formula	\$20,000 (one-time cost)				✓			
D.4. Service consolidation	TBD				✓	✓		

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS: EDUCATION & OUTREACH

			Expected Benefits						
Recommendation	Estimated Annual Direct Cost		Increase Diversion	Reduce Toxics	Expand Services	Address Need	Reduce GHGs	Operating Efficiency	
Education and Outreach									
E.1. Marketing / advertising firm		\$150,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
E.2. Grassroots outreach team		\$200,000	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS: DIRECT COST IMPACT

\$3,000,000/year to implement <u>all</u> recommendations (excluding downtown container consolidation)

Residential + outreach = \$500,000/year

- Increase of \$1.60/household/month (no change in current revenue split)
- Increase of \$5.45/household/month (with revenues and expenses balanced between sectors)

Commercial + 7-day downtown collection = \$2,500,000/year

- Increase of \$150/customer/month (no change in current revenue split)
- Increase of \$80/customer/month (with revenues and expenses balanced between sectors)

Costs per customer calculated by distributing cost impact equally across all customers in each sector. Cost per customer will be higher if assigned only to a subset of customers in the sector (e.g., downtown businesses, food-oriented businesses).

WHAT'S NEXT?

SWRMP report

- Finalize resource requirements, funding methods, and service delivery
- Finalize financial projections
- Present recommendations and implementation guidance
- Present final draft report to Environmental Commission July 25th

City staff activities

- Review draft SWRMP report
- Execute contract extensions with RAA and Waste Management (June 3rd City Council agenda item)
- Interface with Environmental Commission's Solid Waste Work Group

KEEP UPDATED ON THE PROGRESS OF THE SWRMP

Website:

Email:

www.a2gov.org/SWRMP

SWRMP@a2gov.org

Individual Contacts:

Cresson Slotten Christina Seibert Charlie Fleetham

Project Manager Project Manager Lead Facilitator

City of Ann Arbor APTIM Project Innovations

(734) 794-6430 x 43701 (630) 762-3306 (248) 476-7577

<u>cslotten@a2gov.org</u> <u>christina.seibert@aptim.com</u> <u>charlie@projectinnovations.com</u>