

ADDENDUM No. 1

RFP No. 968

City of Ann Arbor Bridge Inspection Project

Proposals due: May 11, 2016 by 2:00 P.M. (local time)

The following adjustments shall be made to the Request for Proposal RFP No. 968 for the City of Ann Arbor Bridge Inspection Project on which proposals will be received on, or before, May 11, 2016 at 2:00 P.M. (local time).

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any), and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes 2 pages.**

The Proposer is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum will be considered non-conforming.

The following forms provided within the RFP Document must be included in submitted proposal:

- **Attachment A – Legal Status of Respondent**
- **Attachment B - City of Ann Arbor Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment C - City of Ann Arbor Living Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment D - Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form**

Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening will be deemed non-responsive and will not be considered for award.

I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Q1. “The Consultant is to be aware of, up-to-date, and compliant with, recent inspection requirement revisions by FHWA with regard to tunnel inspections and the required documentation.” Normally to be considered a “tunnel” (which would fall under the new requirements) the tunnel portion of the structure would carry within it a roadway which would be used by vehicular traffic. If the tunnel is not a roadway carrying vehicular traffic (such as is the case with this pedestrian tunnel), then it would likely fall under the NBIS certification, inspection and reporting requirements. Is it the City’s intent that the Consultant have a Tunnel Safety Inspection certification to complete this work specific to only FHWA, even if it may happen to fall into a different classification for this structure (such as NBIS cert.)?

A1. The City of Ann Arbor upon consultation with the Michigan Department of Transportation staff has concluded that neither the U of M Tunnel under Huron Parkway or the 5th Avenue Underground Parking Structure qualify as tunnels for the purposes of inspection or reporting requirements. As such, these structures will continue to be classified as bridge structures and a certification for Tunnel Safety Inspection will not be required.

Respondents are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.