

# **ADDENDUM No. 1**

## **RFP No. 949**

### **Biodigester Feasibility Study**

**Due: October 22, 2015 at 2:00 P.M.**

The following changes, additions, and/or deletions shall be made to the Request for Proposal for Biodigester Feasibility Study RFP No. 949 on which proposals will be received on/or before October 22, 2015 by 2:00 P.M.

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any), and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes 2 page(s).**

**Offeror is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum will be considered nonconforming.**

## **I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: Has the location of the AD been selected as being collocated at the MRF/transfer station/former landfill site or are other sites still under consideration namely the wastewater treatment plant?

Answer 1: No location has been selected.

Question 2: Is the City looking for a consultant who is AD technology agnostic or is there a preference for a particular AD system?

Answer 2: There is no preference for any particular system.

Question 3: A waste shed of 50 miles around Ann Arbor includes not only Washtenaw County but substantial parts or all of Oakland, Wayne, Macomb, Livingston, Genesee, Monroe, Jackson as well as other counties in Michigan AND parts of northern Ohio and Essex County in Ontario. What is the City's expectation regarding collecting data from this large of regional area given the size of the study?

Answer 3: The city expects the consultant to explore and provide recommendations on potentially available materials within the 50-mile waste shed that would provide some level of support (or not) to scaling a system to handle a larger volume or types of materials. We expect the consultant describe whether there are significant sources of material that we should/could reasonably pursue as we consider final design specifications.

Question 4: The RFP is silent on participation in any public meetings, presentation at City Council meetings and similar events. Please confirm these type of meetings are not required as part of this scope of work.

Answer 4: Offerors should assume that one presentation to city council or another similar body is required at the end of the study. An Organics Management Plan is being developed and the findings from this work will be shared with this parallel effort.

Respondents are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum