The National Citizen Survey™

Ann Arbor, MI

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2013

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The National Citizen Survey™ communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community, Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation, across eight central facets of community, Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement. This report summarizes the Ann Arbor's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions are not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Ann Arbor's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is dark purple; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest purple. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, ratings of Community Characteristics across most of the facets were stronger than were ratings of Governance or Participation. Overall, facets within Community Characteristics saw high ratings, but for Participation, many facets were not as strong. Economy, Education and Enrichment and Recreation and Wellness emerged as the strongest community facets when compared to other places, and for each of those, Community Characteristics and Governance were also seen to be especially good. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Community Characteristics				Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	31	20	1	10	35	1	4	22	7	
General	5	2	0	0	3	0	0	2	1	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	2	5	0	1	6	1	2	1	0	
Natural Environment	2	1	0	3	3	0	1	1	1	
Built Environment	0	4	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	
Economy	6	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	
Recreation and Wellness	6	1	0	3	1	0	0	6	0	
Education and Enrichment	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	
Community Engagement	4	2	0	1	7	0	0	5	4	

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower
	Not available

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Recommend Ann Arbor	1	\leftrightarrow	93%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	1	92%	Services provided by Ann Arbor	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Remain in Ann Arbor	1	\leftrightarrow	76%
neral	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Services provided by the Federal Government	1	\leftrightarrow	49%	Contacted Ann Arbor employees	1	1	37%
g	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	1	95%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
	Overall feeling of safety	*	\leftrightarrow	89%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ † † † † † † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † ♦ † † ♦ † ♦ † † ♦ † † † ♦ † † ♦ † <p< td=""><td>90%</td></p<>	90%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Did NOT report a crime	*		85%
ety	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%	Fire	1	\leftrightarrow	89%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	*		20%
Built Environment Environment Mobility Safety General					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%				
					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%				
					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%				
	Traffic flow	1	\leftrightarrow	39%	Traffic enforcement	1	\leftrightarrow	58%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	*	\leftrightarrow	52%
	Travel by car	1	\leftrightarrow	51%	Street repair	1	1	25%	Walked or biked instead of driving	*	11	83%
bility	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Street cleaning	1	\leftrightarrow	57%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	↑ ↑	61%
٤	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	1	86%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%				
	Travel by public transportation	*	\leftrightarrow	61%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	53%		rime \leftrightarrow		
	Overall ease travel	*	\leftrightarrow	72%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	55%			↑	
	Public parking	*	*	36%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	46%		* \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	1	81%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	1	77%			↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ † † † † † † ↓ ↔ ↔	
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	1	92%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	1	95%
¥	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	1	90%	Conserved water	*	į į	75%
urai onmer	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	↔	80%	Made home more energy efficient	*	\leftrightarrow	73%
Σį					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	1	84%				
ᇤ					Open space	*	\leftrightarrow	74%				
					Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	1	77%				
ment	New development in Ann Arbor	1	\leftrightarrow	63%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%
	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	1	28%	Storm drainage	1	\leftrightarrow	67%	Did NOT observe a code violation	*	\leftrightarrow	59%
5	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%				
Ξ	Overall built environment	*	\leftrightarrow	74%	Utility billing	*	\leftrightarrow	75%				
3uilt E	Public places	*	\leftrightarrow	79%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	54%				
ш					Code enforcement	1	\leftrightarrow	53%				
					Cable television	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	*	1	83%	Economic development	1	1	69%	Economy will have positive impact on income	1	\leftrightarrow	24%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	75%					Purchased goods or services in Ann Arbor	*	\leftrightarrow	98%
Economy	Employment opportunities	1	1	63%					Work in Ann Arbor	*	$\uparrow \uparrow$	68%
<u>ē</u>	Place to visit	*	\leftrightarrow	78%		↑ ↑ 69% Economy will have positive impact on income Purchased goods or services in Ann Arbor Work in Ann Arbor Used Ann Arbor public libraries Used Ann Arbor recreation centers Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity → ↑ 91% Participated in religious or spiritual activities * → 81% Talked to or visited with neighbors * → 66% Voted in local elections Attended a local public meeting * → 60% Watched a local public meeting * → 60% Volunteered * ← 60% Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate * ← 67% Contacted Ann Arbor elected officials * ↑ 66% Attended a City-sponsored event						
	Cost of living	*	\leftrightarrow	32%							↔	
_	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	*	↑ ↑	83%								
Ì	Place to work	1	$\uparrow\uparrow$	86%								
Ì	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
10	Fitness opportunities	*	\leftrightarrow	84%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	In very good to excellent health	*	\leftrightarrow	76%
ess	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	83%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Used Ann Arbor public libraries	1	\leftrightarrow	70%
Welln	Health care	*	1	78%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Used Ann Arbor recreation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%
pg	Food	*	1	77%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
tion a	Mental health care	*	1	67%					Ate 5 portions of fruits and	*	\leftrightarrow	89%
Recreation and Wellness	Health and wellness	*	1	90%						*	\leftrightarrow	89%
~	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	1	81%					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		 ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↓ ↔ ↔ 	
	K-12 education	\leftrightarrow	1	86%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	91%		\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	48%
ᇽᅥ	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	92%	Special events	*	\leftrightarrow	Participated in religious or spiritual activities Participated in religious or spiritual activities Participated in religious or spiritual activities Participated in local elections Participated in l				
le l	Child care/preschool	*	1	63%							↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↓ ↓ ↔ ↔ ↔	
Eurichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	88%								
ᆵᇤ	Adult education	*	↑ ↑	90%								
_	Overall education and enrichment	*	<u>†</u> †	94%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	1	77%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%		1	1	30%
Ì	Opportunities to volunteer	1	1	84%	Overall direction	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Voted in local elections	1	\leftrightarrow	68%
nent	Sense of community	Į į	\leftrightarrow	69%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Attended a local public meeting	1	1	15%
ıgageı	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	1	83%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%	Watched a local public meeting	1	1	19%
ity Er	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	81%	Confidence in City government	*	\leftrightarrow	60%	Volunteered	<u></u>	\leftrightarrow	52%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	*	\leftrightarrow	69%	Acting in the best interest of Ann Arbor	*	\leftrightarrow	64%		*	→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	24%
8					Being honest		↔	67%	officials	*		15%
[Treating all residents fairly	*	1	66%	Attended a City-sponsored event		\leftrightarrow	60%
									Read or watched local news	*	1	75%

Legend

 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$