## ADDENDUM No. 1

## **RFP No. 942**

# Sanitary Sewer Improvements Preliminary Engineering Project

#### Due: October 30, 2015 at 10:00 A.M.

The following changes, additions, and/or deletions shall be made to the Request for Proposal for Sanitary Sewer Improvements Preliminary Engineering Project RFP No. 942 on which proposals will be received on/or before October 30, 2015 by 10:00 A.M.

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any), and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes 2 page(s).** 

Offeror is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum will be considered nonconforming.

All of the forms provided in Section IV – Attachments of the RFP Document must be included in submitted proposals. Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms will not be considered for award.

### I. CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

Changes to the RFP documents which are outlined below are referenced to a page or Section in which they appear conspicuously. The Bidder is to take note in its review of the documents and include these changes as they may affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

#### Section/Page(s) Change

Page 3 Add the following paragraph to Section II-D. PROPOSAL FORMAT: Proposals should be prepared simply and economically providing a straightforward, concise description of the respondent's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP. Each proposal shall not be more than <u>50</u> <u>sheets</u> (double sided), not including required Attachments A-D.

Page 7References to Expected City Council Authorization of PSA in February<br/>2015 and PSA Execution, Award and Notice to Proceed in Mid February<br/>2015 are corrected to read February 2016 and Mid February 2016.

(This Space Intentionally Left Blank)

### **II. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

- Question 1: Will the CCTV footage collected by the City's contractor be coded following NASCO PACP standards?
- Answer 1: Yes
- Question 2: Are we required to include the estimate of hours in the body of the proposal, or is this information to be included only in the cost proposal sealed in a separate envelope? It seems like the City used to require hours in the proposal, and costs in a separate envelope, which is why I am asking.
- Answer 2: Hours shall be included in the body of the proposal, and dollar costs shall be included only in the separate fee proposal. Page 17, Section III-C. PROPOSED WORK PLAN states, "The work plan shall define resources needed for each task (title and individual person hours) and the firm's staff person completing the project task."

Respondents are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.