### ADDENDUM No. 2

## RFP #21-21

# **Barton Dam – Right Embankment Remediation**

Due: August 12, 2021 at 2:00 P.M. (local time)

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any) and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes three (3) pages.** 

The Offeror is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 2, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum may be considered non-conforming.

The following forms provided within the RFP Document should be included in submitted proposal:

- Attachment C Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance
- Attachment D Living Wage Declaration of Compliance
- Attachment E Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form

<u>Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.</u>

#### I. CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

Changes to the RFP documents which are outlined below are referenced to a page or Section in which they appear conspicuously. Offerors are to take note in its review of the documents and include these changes as they may affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Section/Page(s)	Change
All Mention	As provided in the original RFP #21-21 Document: Proposal Due Date: Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. Written Questions Deadline: Tuesday, July 20, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. Addendums Published by: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.
	As updated here in Addendum No. 2: Proposal Due Date: Thursday, August 12, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. Written Questions Deadline: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. Addendums Published by: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.
II.3 / 12	Add the following document reference:  Memorandum on High Flow Mitigation of Toe Drain 23: Barton Dam (FERC ID 3142). NTH Consultants, Ltd. July 7, 2021.

III.C / 22 Add the following text to the Proposed Work Plan:

Include in the Work Plan a Level of Effort matrix showing project tasks in sufficient detail to match the scope of work, the employees who are anticipated to be working on the project, their role in the project, and estimated number of hours each per task. *NOTE: This matrix is not to include billing rates or other cost information.* 

III.D / 22 Add the following text to the Fee Proposal line items, 3<sup>rd</sup> item (Detailed design):

Include a separate cost for designing each of the two alternates (if cost for an alternative is not included, provide an explanation for why that alternative is not a feasible solution):

- o Regrade Downstream Slope.
- Cutoff Wall.

(Explanatory note: FERC is currently in the process of reviewing the design concepts indicated in the March 2, 2021 *Seepage and Stability Analysis Report* and has not given approval yet on either method. Thus, the proposer is asked for a separate cost to perform each. The awarded Consultant will engage FERC for final approval of the design approach.)

#### **II. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Question 1: The second Objective (Section II.5, pg. 13) asks for removal of reverse filters,

ballast blankets, etc. If the Cutoff Wall alternate is selected, will these elements

necessarily be removed?

Answer 1: Yes. It is anticipated that the old repair attempts should be removed.

Question 2: If the Cutoff Wall alternate is selected, will other Objectives (Section II.5, pgs. 13

and 14) still be retained?

Answer 2: Yes. However, the solution to the other Objectives may be different depending on

the remediation method. It will be up to the Consultant to provide a workable

solution to the objectives based on the selected alternative.

Question 3: Under Requirements (Section II.6, pg. 18) wetland delineation and survey are

included for the entire area between the collector ditch and railroad tracks. Depending on the design, varying amounts of this area are likely to be disturbed.

Is it required to include wetland delineation and survey for these entire areas?

Answer 3: Yes. Please include the cost for wetland delineation and survey of those complete

areas. Depending on the needs of the selected design, the scope of these can be

trimmed back if appropriate, with cost adjustment.

Question 4: Figure 3 shows 2 different line types for the proposed machine accessible

pathway. Is anything indicated by the different line types?

Answer 4: No.

#### **III. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

The Pre-Proposal Meeting Sign-In Sheet is attached to this Addendum.

Offerors are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.

RFP #21-21

RE-PROPOSAL MESTILE.

JULY 13,202/

BARTON DAM- RIGHT EMBANKMENT REMEDIATION

NAME	Company	EMAIL,
1. Paul Malsons	STANTEC	Paul, maloche DStantor, com,
2. James Sholl	HDR -	James, Scholl@harinc, com
3. Patrick Williams	Carolno	patrich. williams ce cardno. com
4. Peres Meanes	MA	pmargules Enthconsultants. con
S. Michelle Woods	NH	mwoods e Athcorsultonts, com
s. Michael Eilis	Barr Engineering	mellis@barr.com
7. SAM BALISHE	Geosyntec	showshipe@geocyntec.com
8. Jim CAi	GIZA	jim. Cai@gza. Com
9. Berhany Kelly	Barr Engineering	bkelly@barr.com
10, Mike Stephik	GEI	Mstephake geiconsultants, com