

ADDENDUM No. 1

RFP No. 25-60

Design Services for Ice Arena Improvements

Due: December 4, 2025 at 2:00 PM (local time)

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any) and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes three (3) pages.**

The Proposer is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum may be considered non-conforming.

The following forms provided within the RFP Document should be included in submitted proposal:

- **Attachment C – Legal Status of Offeror**
- **Attachment D - Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment E - Living Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment F - Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form**

Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.

I. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: Are there any sustainability requirements from the City?

Answer 1: There are no sustainability requirements that are outside of what is described in the RFP.

Question 2: How is ice disposed of at Buhr?

Answer 2: Dumped into an onsite pit.

Question 3: What is the typical thickness of the ice at Buhr?

Answer 3: The target thickness is 1.5 inches.

Question 4: What was the amount of the LWCF grant award?

Answer 4: The grant is \$500,000, plus \$500,000 match from the City. The City expects to have to spend more than that.

Question 5: What is budgeted for this project?

Answer 5: A specific budget has not been finalized. Our goal is to find the best value based on the needs to ensure we are being fiscally responsible.

Question 6: Would a general contractor be needed for this project?

Answer 6: For construction, yes.

Question 7: Does this have to go through the design then bid process, or would the city consider a design-build proposal direct from a sourcewell contractor to avoid having to spend the extra money up front engineering the project for bidding?

Answer 7: The intent for this RFP is to go through the design and then bid the project as designed by the consultant found via RFP# 25-60. The design contract is not controlled by the LWCF grant, but the construction contract will be.

Question 8: Will the facilities be open for visiting again before the proposal due date?

Answer 8: There will be no additional opportunity before the proposal due date to view the non-public areas of the facilities.

Question 9: Will the sign-in sheet from the pre-bid meeting be made available?

Answer 9: Yes, please see attached.

Offerors are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.



City of Ann Arbor Purchasing
Request for Proposal (RFP) Pre-Proposal Meeting

RFP# 25-60 – Design Services for Ice Arena Improvements

	First /Last Name	Department/Entity	Phone #	E-Mail Address
1.	Robert Wilson	SIDOCK GROUP	248-245-1193	rwilson@sidockgroup.com
2.	STUART PETTITT	straub pettitt Mann Architecture	248-658-7777	spettitt@straubpettittmann.com
3.	Andrew Rudnyck	Progressive Graphics	586-770-3849	arudnycky@wenteprogressive.com
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				

Opened By: _____