

ADDENDUM No. 1

RFP No. 25-42

Manhole Raising Project

Due: September 26, 2025 at 11:00 A.M. (local time)

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any), and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes two (2) pages.**

The Proposer is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1 by signing and submitting Attachment B, including all attachments in its Proposal by so indicating in the proposal that the addendum has been received. Proposals submitted without acknowledgement of receipt of this addendum may be considered non-conforming.

The following forms provided within the RFP Document should be included in submitted proposal:

- **Attachment B - General Declarations**
- **Attachment D - Prevailing Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment E - Living Wage Declaration of Compliance**
- **Attachment G - Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form**
- **Attachment H - Non-Discrimination Declaration of Compliance**

Proposals that fail to provide these completed forms listed above upon proposal opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.

I. CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

Changes to the RFP documents which are outlined below are referenced to a page or Section in which they appear conspicuously. Offerors are to take note in its review of the documents and include these changes as they may affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Section/Page(s)	Change
------------------------	---------------

Page 11	Remove: "Approximately 52 of these manholes have been previously located and marked by City staff, 128 shall be located as part of this work." Replace with: "Approximately 52 of these manholes have been previously located and marked by City staff, 108 shall be located as part of this work."
---------	--

Comment: A correction to the number of manholes not previously located provided in the RFP.

Page 11	Remove: "For bidding purposes, assume 110 manholes are buried one (1) foot or less and the remaining 50 are buried greater than one (1) foot." Replace with: "For bidding purposes, assume 100 manholes are buried one (1) foot or less and the remaining 60 are buried greater than one (1) foot."
---------	--

Comment: A correction to the number of manholes to be assumed buried one foot or less, and greater than one foot provided in the RFP.

Appendix - Buried Sanitary Manholes Remove: last manhole in list: "71-75213, 1540 Jones Dr, D, Low, Green Space"

Replace with: "71-65019, Huron Pkwy, D, Medium, Green Space"

Comment: A change to the list of Sanitary Manholes. Note that while the list of manholes has changed, there is no change to the estimated quantities in the schedule of pricing.

II. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in accordance with the terms of the RFP. Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: On Page #17 of 95 there are 100 <1' deep Manholes to locate and 60 >1' deep manholes to locate listed in the bid items. On Page #11 it states that "approximately 52 of these manholes have been previously located and marked by City staff, 128 shall be located as part of this work." It also states on Page #11 for bidding purposes to assume 110 manholes are buried <1' deep and 50 manholes are buried >1' deep. Can we get clarification if we will be paid for manholes previously located by City Staff, and a clarification on the # of manholes to be located since the 128 number does not match the 160 number from the bid tabs or "for bidding purposes" assumptions.

Answer 1: Contractor will not be paid for locating Manholes that have been previously located and clearly marked by City Staff. The number of manholes to be located is 108, the number of manholes to be assumed buried one foot or less is 100, and the number of manholes to be assumed buried greater than one foot is 60.

Question 2: Can the City explain the methodologies that were previously used in their locating efforts that were unsuccessful and successful? Did they utilize GPR?

Answer 2: Probing, metal detection, and CCTV copper wire tracing were previously used in locating manholes. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) was not utilized.

Offerors are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.