

ADDENDUM No. 1

ITB No. 4791

WEST PARK BANDSHELL DEMOLITION

Bids Due: July 9, 2026 at 2:00 P.M. (Local Time)

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda (if any) and is appended thereto. **This Addendum includes seven (7) pages.**

Bidder is to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 1, including all attachments (if any) in its Bid by so indicating on page ITB-1 of the Invitation to Bid Form. Bids submitted without acknowledgment of receipt of this addendum may be considered nonconforming.

The following forms provided within the ITB document should be included in submitted bids:

- City of Ann Arbor Prevailing Wage Declaration of Compliance
- City of Ann Arbor Living Wage Ordinance Declaration of Compliance
- Vendor Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form
- City of Ann Arbor Non-Discrimination Ordinance Declaration of Compliance

Bids that fail to provide these forms listed above upon bid opening may be rejected as non-responsive and may not be considered for award.

I. CORRECTIONS/ADDITIONS/DELETIONS

Changes to the Bid document which are outlined below are referenced to a page or Section in which they appear conspicuously. The Bidder is to take note in its review of the documents and include these changes as they may affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Section/Page(s)

Change

Bid Form	As provided in ITB No. 4791 Bid Document:
Section 1	Bid Form, Section 1 – Schedule of Prices as Pages 12 and 13.
Pages 12-13	

As updated herein:
Bid Form, Section 1 – Schedule of Prices as Pages BF-1 and B-F2.

Comment: The intent with this change is to simply replace the inaccurate Bid Form Section 1 Schedule of Prices, Pages 12-13 provided in the ITB Document with the accurate Bid Form Section 1 Schedule of Prices, Pages BF-1 and BF-2 provided herein.

II. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following Questions have been received by the City. Responses are being provided in

accordance with the terms of the ITB. Bidders are directed to take note in their review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Question 1: Can the Engineer's Estimate be provided?

Answer 1: The Engineer's Estimate is not available currently.

Question 2: Who is responsible for construction staking?

Answer 2: The Contractor is responsible for any construction staking and should follow Section 824 of the MDOT 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction.

Question 3: Who is responsible for construction testing?

Answer 3: The Contractor is responsible for performing any bituminous material testing or density testing. This work should follow the City of Ann Arbor Standard Specifications noted in the ITB.

Question 4: Are soil borings / geotechnical information for the project area available?

Answer 4: No, soil borings / geotechnical information is not available at this time.

Question 5: Should all pay items that do not have a "DS" prefix follow the Standard Specifications?

Answer 5: Yes, all items that do not have a "DS" prefix should follow the City of Ann Arbor Standard Specifications noted in the ITB.

Question 6: Is there a permit allowance associated with the project?

Answer 6: Yes, there is a \$15,000.00 allowance for permits noted in the bid form.

Question 7: What is the detailed specification for the pay item noted as "DS_Demolition & Disposal Of Building (Scrap Value Not Included)"?

Answer 7: The detailed specification for the pay item noted as "DS_Demolition & Disposal Of Building (Scrap Value Not Included)" is 02 41 19.

Question 8: Is there an allowance for asbestos and lead abatement and disposal?

Answer 8: Yes, there is \$20,000.00 allowance for asbestos and lead abatement and disposal. This is noted in the bid form.

Question 9: Is the cost of sampling for asbestos and lead included in the allowance?

Answer 9: Yes, the cost of sampling for asbestos and lead is included in the \$20,000.00 allowance for asbestos lead abatement and disposal.

Question 10: What are the limits of the "Machine Grading" pay item?

Answer 10: The Machine Grading pay item is for the Contractor to bring the site up to proposed grade after the demolition and removals have taken place. The limits are within the proposed contours on the plans and are not inclusive of the pathway area.

Question 11: How should quantities for "Earth Excavation" and "Embankment" be determined?

Answer 11: Earth Excavation and Embankment pay items will be paid based on plan quantity.

Question 12: What does the "Non-Hazardous Contaminated Material Handling And Disposal" pay item include?

Answer 12: No contaminated soils are anticipated to be removed from the site, this pay item has been removed from the Bid Form.

- Question 13: Should the site be accessed from North 7th Street along the southernly path to the bandshell?
- Answer 13: Yes, the preferred access to the site is from North 7th Street along the southernly path to the band shell. If another path is preferred by Contractor, please provide as an alternate.
- Question 14: Will “No Parking” signs, lane closures or ROW permit be required for site access from North 7th Street?
- Answer 14: Yes, these “No Parking” signs, lane closures and a ROW permit will be required. These items are included under the \$15,000.00 permit allowance pay item.
- Question 15: Are there any water testing, containerizing, processing, and/or treatment requirements for effluent developed by dewatering processes, and where can it be discharged?
- Answer 15: Two monitoring wells in the area did not identify 1,4-Dioxane, therefore dewatering effluent can be discharged directly to the City storm sewer. Associated permit costs or if any additional sampling is required, will be covered under the permit allowance.
- Question 16: Is an EGLE permit required for work inside the existing wetland boundaries?
- Answer 16: No, an EGLE permit is not required for work within the wetlands or within the floodplain. Please see attached No Permit Required letter from EGLE.

Bidders are responsible for any conclusions that they may draw from the information contained in the Addendum.

BID FORM

Section 1 – Schedule of Prices

VENDOR NAME: _____

BASE BID					
DESCRIPTION		QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL COST
1	General Conditions, Max. \$10,000	1	L Sum		
2	Digital Audio Visual Coverage	1	L Sum		
3	Pedestrian Type II Barricade, Temp, Furn & Oper	8	EA		
4	Erosion Control Inlet Protection, Fabric Drop	2	EA		
5	Erosion Control, Silt Fence	293	Lft		
6	Erosion Control, Mud Mat	45	Syd		
7	DS_Demolition & Disposal of Building (Scrap Value Not Incl.)	37,953	Cft		
8	DS_Asbestos & Lead Paint Abatement and Disposal - Allowance	1	Allow	---	\$20,000.00
9	HMA, Any Thickness, Rem	90	syd		
10	Construction Fencing and Pedestrian Traffic Control	1	L Sum		
11	Tree Protection Fence	184	Lft		
12	Storm Sewer Pipe, 8 In Dia., Rem	20	Lft		
13	Sanitary Sewer Pipe, 4 In. Dia. Rem	15	Lft		
14	Water Main Pipe, 1 In Dia, Abandon	50	Lft		
15	HMA Wearing Course, 1.5 In., 5E03	7	Ton		
16	HMA Base Course, 2.5 In., 4E03	11	Ton		
17	Aggregate Base, 6 In, 21AA, CIP	80	Syd		
18	Earth Excavation	245	Cyd		

19	Machine Grading	900	Syd		
20	Embankment	270	Cyd		
21	Dewatering	1	L Sum		
22	Project Clean-Up and Restoration	1	L Sum		
23	DS_Basin Planting Bed Preparation	405	Syd		
24	DS_White Oak (Quercus alba)	3	Ea		
25	DS_Tuliptree (Liriodendron tulipifera)	2	Ea		
26	DS_Bur Oak (Quercus macrocarpa)	1	Ea		
27	DS_Swamp Tupelo (Nyssa biflora)	1	Ea		
28	DS_Parkland Pillar White Birch (Betula platyphylla 'jefpark')	24	EA		
29	DS_Perennials/Sedges (2" Plant plugs)	4,450	EA		
30	DS_Ferns/Perennials/Sedges (1 Gal Pot)	950	EA		
31	DS_Upland Seed Mix w/ 4" Topsoil & Mulch	1,100	Syd		
32	DS_Turf Restoration w/ 4" Topsoil & Mulch	350	Syd		
33	Permitting Allowance	1	Allow	---	\$15,000.00

Total Base Bid Amount: \$ _____

ALTERNATES					
DESCRIPTION		QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL COST
A1	Detailed Specifications_In lieu of Parkland Pillar White Birch (Betula platyphylla 'jefpark'), provide River Birch (Betula nigra). This cost REPLACES the cost listed for Line Item 29.	24	Ea		

Total Bid Amount w/ Alternates: \$ _____



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION



PHILLIP D. ROOS
DIRECTOR

June 1, 2026

VIA EMAIL

Adam Fercho
City of Ann Arbor
301 East Huron
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

Dear Adam Fercho:

SUBJECT: Preapplication Meeting
Submission Number: HQN-54H6-QXJ04
MiEnviro Site Name: 81-215 Chapin St-Ann Arbor
T02S, R06E, Section 29, City of Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County

This letter is a follow-up to our May 21, 2026, preapplication meeting regarding the proposed project in Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County. The purpose of a preapplication meeting is to provide you with information that will clarify the permit process, answer preliminary questions about your specific project in order to avoid delays at a later date, and to determine, if possible, the need for wetland or inland lakes and streams permits.

During this meeting, we reviewed the need to obtain a permit under Part 301, Inland Lakes and Streams; and Part 303, Wetlands Protection, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended (NREPA). The review was based on discussion of the proposed project and/or draft permit application, the proposed site, and potential modifications to the project discussed during our meeting.

During the review of the project site, the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy's (EGLE) Water Resources Division (WRD) made the following findings regarding the need for a permit under Part 301, Inland Lakes and Streams; and Part 303, Wetlands Protection, of the NREPA:

☒ A permit is not required for the project as proposed.

This determination is based on the project plan and information provided at the time of this meeting only. Provided that the proposed project and location are not altered, this determination is binding on EGLE for a period of two years from the date of this meeting.

Adam Fercho
City of Ann Arbor
Page 2
June 1, 2026

Please note that this is not a permit. The WRD cannot indicate during a preapplication meeting whether or not a permit will be issued. The WRD cannot make a decision regarding a permit until it has considered all of the information provided in the final permit application and, in some instances, has also considered comments received in response to a public notice of the project. Therefore, the WRD cannot legally determine whether the project will be permitted in advance of a permit application being submitted and reviewed.

The EGLE submission number assigned to this project is HQN-54H6-QXJ04. Please keep a record of this submission number and use it when submitting a final application or otherwise corresponding with our office on this project.

We appreciate the opportunity to meet with you or your representative to address these concerns. We have established a submission for this project, and the information submitted to date will be used to facilitate processing of the final application. If you should have follow-up questions before then, please contact me at BalesJ@Michigan.gov; 517-257-4532; or EGLE, WRD, Jackson District Office, P.O. Box 30458, Lansing, Michigan 48909-7958.

Sincerely,



James Bales
Environmental Quality Analyst
Water Resources Division

cc: John Balint, Hubbell, Roth & Clark, Inc. (via email)
Joshua Gleason, EGLE (via email)