City of Ann Arbor, Michigan FY2008-2013 Capital Improvements Plan

Utilities – Water System

Water System

Improvement of water service and increased reliability

CAPITAL PROGRAM SUMMARY

The City is responsible for delivering treated water originating from the Huron River and well fields to the Ann Arbor consumer, as well as adjacent townships on a contractual basis. The water system capital improvements program is designed to provide a reliable supply of the highest quality water consistent with customer values, cost effectiveness and environmental protection.

The Ann Arbor water system is comprised of one treatment plant, four pumping stations, approximately 480 miles of water mains, approximately 3500 fire hydrants, 7000 valves, two elevated storage tanks, one on-ground storage tank, and three belowground storage tanks. Specific activities in the program include increasing available water supply by installing new and replacing old water mains and continuing water treatment plant improvements.

In addition to specific projects contained in this plan, on-going general water main construction projects fulfill the water requirements of township annexations and general growth, as part of the City Master Plan.

NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

In 2005 and 2006, *Ann Arbor Water Treatment Facilities and Water Resources Master Plan* was completed. This plan included and assessment of the existing Water Treatment Plant facilities condition, renewal and replacement needs, and the best alternative for the future development of the plant facility and treatment processes, as well as a capital improvement program for these facilities.

In addition, the City identifies facilities in need of improvements through surveys and continuous testing of the distribution network. A model of the water system allows evaluation of the system's ability to meet customer usage demands and fire flows.

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

- Ann Arbor Water Treatment Facilities and Water Resources Master Plan (2006)
- Water Master Plan (2000)
- History of broken mains
- Customer complaints
- Review of maintenance records
- Coordination with other improvements (street reconstruction)
- Operational efficiency
- Contractual or government mandated obligations

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR PROJECT SHEETS

		Page
Project Name	Project ID	No.
Ann Arbor Railroad Water Main Bore	UT-WS-03-01	472
Arbor Oaks Subdivision Water Mains Replacement	UT-WS-08-37	473
Argo Dam - Coating Structural Steel	UT-WS-10-02	474
Argo Dam Toe Drain Repair	UT-WS-08-40	475
Barton Dam - Coating Structural Steel	UT-WS-10-01	476
Barton Dam Concrete Repairs	UT-WS-10-09	477
Barton Electrical Upgrades	UT-WS-08-10	478
Barton Pond Early Warning System	UT-WS-08-07	479
Calvin Street Water Extension	UT-WS-01-06	480
Catherine Street 16" Water Main	UT-WS-08-39	481
Claire Circle Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-08-18	482
Clark Road Water Main Extension	UT-WS-06-13	483
Collingwood Water Quality Improvements	UT-WS-08-22	484
Detroit Street Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-08-34	485
Devonshire/Belmont/Londonderry Water Quality Improvements	UT-WS-08-20	486
Dexter Avenue Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-10-10	487
Distribution System Monitoring and Security	UT-WS-92-27	488
Dover Court Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-10-11	489
Downtown Valve Insertion/Replacement	UT-WS-08-30	490
Eisenhower Parkway Water Main	UT-WS-01-08	491
Elevated Storage Tank (West High Service District)	UT-WS-01-10	492
Elmcrest Drive Water Main	UT-WS-08-29	493
Exmoor Looping Water Main & Londonderry Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-08-26	494
Geddes and South East District Control Valve	UT-WS-06-07	495
Geddes Dam - Coating Structural Steel	UT-WS-10-03	496
Gravity and West High Boundary Valve Replacement	UT-WS-06-08	497
Harbal/Laird Water Main	UT-WS-10-05	498
Hiawatha and Loyola Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-06-11	499
Leak Detection Survey	UT-WS-06-17	500
Manchester Elevated Water Tank Paint Project	UT-WS-02-19	501
Miller Avenue Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-10-16	502
New Well at Steere Farm	UT-WS-08-12	503
Nob Hill Water Main Replacements	UT-WS-08-31	504
North State Street Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-08-35	505
North University Court Water Main	UT-WS-10-17	506

		Page
Project Name	Project ID	No.
Ozone Residual Monitor Replacement	UT-WS-08-08	507
Packard Water Main Bore	UI-WS-01-25	508
Pauline/WHS Return Loop Water Main	UT-WS-01-26	509
Plant #2 Hydraulic Corrections	UT-WS-92-28	510
Raw Water Main (30") Upgrade (Seventh Easterly)	UT-WS-09-03	511
Repair Roof of South Industrial Tank	UT-WS-08-14	512
Repair Valves Behind Ozone Building	UT-WS-08-15	513
Replace Filter Effluent Turbidimeters	UT-WS-08-11	514
Replace Filter Press Plates	UT-WS-08-05	515
Replace Limeslakers at Water Treatment Plant	UT-WS-06-05	516
Replace Section of Well Transmission Pipe From Steere Farm	UT-WS-08-13	517
Replace Steere Farm Well Pump Engines	UT-WS-08-06	518
Russet, Russell & Redeemer Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-10-15	519
Sludge Storage Repairs	UT-WS-08-16	520
Sodium Hydroxide Feed System Expansion	UT-WS-10-04	521
South Boulevard Water Main	UT-WS-01-30	522
South Fifth Avenue Water Main	UT-WS-08-25	523
Stadium Boulevard Water Main Replacement (Hutchins to Kipke)	UT-WS-10-12	524
State Street Raw Water - Reconstruct Interconnection	UT-WS-01-31	525
Structural Repair and Painting Basins #4 and #5 at Water Treatment Plant	UT-WS-06-04	526
Structural Repairs Project - Phase 2	UT-WS-08-04	527
Taylor Street Water Main	UT-WS-10-13	528
Traver Road PRV	UT-WS-08-27	529
Valhalla Drive Water Main	UT-WS-10-14	530
Vaughn Street Water Main	UT-WS-10-08	531
Washtenaw Water Main Replacement	UT-WS-06-14	532
Washtenaw Water Main Upgrade (South University to Hill Street)	UT-WS-10-06	533
Water Distribution System Evaluation - Master Plan Update	UT-WS-08-24	534
Water Distribution System Master Plan - Asset Management	UT-WS-09-05	535
Water Laboratory Information Management System	UT-WS-10-07	536
Water Treatment Plant Residuals Disposal Study	UT-WS-08-02	537
Well Booster Station	UT-WS-92-35	538
West High Service Pump and Electrical Upgrade	UT-WS-92-37	539
West Stadium Water Main Replacement (Pauline Intersection)	UT-WS-09-01	540
West Stadium Water Main Replacement (Pauline to Hutchins)	UT-WS-09-02	541

PROJECT NAME: Ann Arbor Railroad Water Main Bore **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-03-01 PLANNING AREA: South **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Desirable **New Construction** SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES \$225,000 TOTAL COST: SYSTEM PLANNING YEARS IN CIP: 5 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Extend water main under RR for redundancy and reliability SCOPE ITEMS: Will need AARR permit; stubs on each side of RR exist, e'ly on dead-end stub; **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** EISENHOWER PL Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact VICTORS WAY Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 3 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 1 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities 2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)] 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment 8 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Will strengthen fire protection for Eisenhower Corporate Park and general water system grid will be strengthened.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			To provide a second source (link) to back-up the primary water supply
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2010	6/1/2011	piping that has been lacking for 10 years.
Construction:	7/1/2011	9/1/2011	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225

PROJECT NAME: Arbor Oaks Subdivision Water Mains Replacement

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-37 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	South
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$1,500,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Water main is reaching the end of its useful life

SCOPE ITEMS:

High rate of main breaks; coordinate with resurfacing?;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 4
- 1 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 2 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

LOCATION MAP:



BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

TOTAL SCORE

18

Due to increasing frequency and number of water main breaks, custromers are suffering service interruptions and maintenance costs are increasing. This project will reduce or eliminate this..

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			The main can still be maintained for a few years, so the start can be
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2008	3/1/2010	delayed. And with over 9000 feet of main to replace, this will need to
Construction:	5/1/2009	10/1/2010	be phased over multiple years (currently shown as 2-year phasing).

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500
		\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500

PROJECT NAME: Argo Dam - Coating Structural Steel

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-02 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:central, NortheastPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Re-coat structural steel to extend life of asset.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Blast and remove existing coating system, and re-coat all structural steel.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Extend life of the asset.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated SCHEDULE: Start End SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

		-	
Study:			Based on expected life of coating
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250



system.

PROJECT NAME: Argo Dam Toe Drain Repair

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-08-40 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	Central, Northeast
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$300,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

ING SHORE DR

LOCATION MAP:

MAIN

WIND S

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Repair toe drains at Argo Dam to comlpy with MDEQ dam safety requirements.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Locate toe drains. Repair/extend/daylight toe drains so they can be monitored and are functional. Toe drains serve to control the hydrostatic pressure within the dam embankment.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 2 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- -1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

13 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Project is required by the State agency that regaulates Dam Safety, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality - Dam Safety Division.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Repairs required by Michigan Department of Environmental Quality -
Design/Acquisition:			Dam Safety.
Construction:	10/1/2009	4/1/2010	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
		\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300

PROJECT NAME: Barton Dam - Coating Structural Steel

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-01 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:Important

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Re-coat structural steel to extend life of asset.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Blast and remove existing coating system, and re-coat all structural steel.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Extend life of the asset.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Based on expected life of coating system.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
2710	OPERATING TRANSFER FROM 0010	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$250
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$250



PROJECT NAME: Barton Dam Concrete Repairs

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-09 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$400,000
YEARS IN CIP	

8

IDENTIFIED NEED:

LOCATION MAP:

sind Ro

SCOPE ITEMS:

FERC coordination and approval; General fund financing availability?; May need to lower pond - include Molly for coordination of vegetation management efforts; coordinate w/ MDNR fisheries; Coordinate with Barton Pond users (boat club, parks, etc) and WTP

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs

0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	\$
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:]

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
2710	OPERATING TRANSFER FROM 0010	\$0	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40
		\$0	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40

PROJECT NAME: Barton Electrical Upgrades

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-10 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$600,000
YEARS IN CIP	0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace aging/outdated electrical equipment at Barton Pump Station

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace switchgear and transformers at Barton Pump Station.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 2 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

TOTAL SCORE 10

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Barton pump station is a critical piece of infrastructure for the water system. Reliable electrical equipment is necessary to ensure reliability of the City's drinking water.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:**

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDU
Study:			Replace e
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010	equipmen
Construction:	7/1/2010	7/1/2011	nazaros io

LE JUSTIFICATION:

equipment before failure. Portions of the electrical t are not compliant with current NEC and represent safety or City Staff.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$100	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600
		\$0	\$100	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Barton Pond Early Warning System

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-08-07 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$300,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Provide water quality montoring in Huron River to provide early warning in the event of a river contamination event.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Determine location and type of monitors to install. Design and construct recommended improvements. Coordinate with automated water quality mointoring system (stormwater project0

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- -1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

2 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Protects drinking water supply for the City. Portion of project will be coordinated with water quality monitoring project (stormwater)

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End
Study:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2011	7/1/2012
Construction:	7/1/2011	7/1/2012

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Per recommendations of Master Plan - 2006.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
		\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300

PROJECT NAME: Calvin Street Water Extension

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-01-06 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$450,000
YEARS IN CIP:	7

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Water service is not yet installed property owners on wells

SCOPE ITEMS:

No right-of-way, private street. Public easement will need to be granted, special assessment, fixed charge. Coordinate with sanitary extension

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- -1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- -1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

4 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Water service will not be available for new development or if existing wells fail.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Resident Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Construct water main and sewer jointly to save road restoration costs.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2010	4/1/2013	
Construction:	7/1/2013	10/1/2013	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$85	\$0	\$365	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$450
		\$0	\$0	\$85	\$0	\$365	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$450



PROJECT NAME: Catherine Street 16" Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-39 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Central
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$500,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

16 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

This will provide redundancy in the transmission of water to the Geddes-Hill Pressure District near the U-M Medical Campus, dorm area and power plant.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			The Zina Pitcher 16" main is currently connected to an undersized 6"
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2007	3/1/2008	main on Catherine. In addition, this section of Catherine is in need to
Construction:	3/1/2008	6/30/2008	resurtacing.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
		\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500



PROJECT NAME: Claire Circle Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-18 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$200,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

OLDEN PO

MEXTWOODRD

WENDY RD

WAY

OCHEYLN

PEARL ST

10c

SUNSET RD

5

BENDING

OYOLA DR

INDMO.

LOCATION MAP:

PORT RD

WESTPORT

PINETREE

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;

- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

14 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

The existing main is experiencing many main breaks and the upsized main will provide improved water quality and fire coverage

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Main break frequency increasing
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2008	2/1/2009	
Construction:	4/1/2009	6/1/2009	

	Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200
	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200
	Revenue Source Name BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsBOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$200\$200	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsFY10BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$200\$0\$0	Revenue Source NameFriorFW10BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0	Funding (in the second	Prior YearsFY10FY11FY12FY13BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Prior YearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands) *Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands) *Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15FY15BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$200\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0

PROJECT NAME: Clark Road Water Main Extension

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-06-13 New Construction

PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Northeast				
PRIORITY:	Urgent				
TOTAL COST:	\$300,000				
YEARS IN CIP:	2				

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Need to replace feed under US-23 at Washtenaw and along Hogback (end of useful life)

SCOPE ITEMS:

Funded by WCC; 2009 Construction; New feedpoint to AA Twp.;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 4 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

16 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Provide second water source to improve reliability of service.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:	Resident Initiated
STUDY REFERENCE:	

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			To be fully funded by WCC.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2007	11/1/2007	
Construction:	12/1/2007	3/1/2008	

	Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300
	Revenue Source Name DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsDEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$0\$0	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsFY10DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$300\$300	Revenue Source NameFriorFunDEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$0\$300\$300\$0\$0\$300\$0	Revenue Source NameFyiorFyind (in the second	Revenue Source NameFyiorFY10FY11FY12FY13DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0	Revenue Source NameFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands) *Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands) *BeyondRevenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15FY15DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$300\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0



YOST BLUD



PROJECT NAME: Collingwood Water Quality Improvements

PROJECT ID:	UT-WS-08-22	PLANNING AREA:	West
PROJECT TYPE:	Replacement	PRIORITY:	Important
SUBMITTED BY:	PUBLIC SERVICES	TOTAL COST:	\$100,000
	SYSTEM PLANNING	YEARS IN CIP:	0
	SYSTEM PLANNING	YEARS IN CIP:	0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Address water quality complaints/issues

SCOPE ITEMS:

Have had auto-flush device for last 3 years; Red water complaints; Look at possibly looping Shelby and Fair Street; Review hydrant coverage; Examine sizing for main(s);

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

9 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improved water quality for customers

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Auto-flush device has been installed, so will allow time to evaluate its
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2010	3/1/2011	effectiveness
Construction:	4/1/2011	6/1/2011	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100
		\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Detroit Street Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-34 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:CentralPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace main in coordination with brick pavement replacement

SCOPE ITEMS:

Road work (brick pavement replacement) is driver; Look at sizing; Review hydrant coverage;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 1 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

14 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Eliminate water quality issues and prevent costly main break repairs.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Perform with brick replacement project.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2008	4/1/2010	
Construction:	4/1/2010	9/1/2010	

Staff Initiated

	Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
	Revenue Source Name BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsBOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsFY10BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Revenue Source NameFriorFV10BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in the second	Revenue Source NameFriorFunding (in thousands)BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$YearsFY10FY11FY12FY13\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$250\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Prior YearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$0\$0\$250\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$250\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands) *Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$0\$250\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$250\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Furburg (in Function for the standard of the stand



PROJECT NAME: Devonshire/Belmont/Londonderry Water Quality Improvements

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-08-20 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:NortheastPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$550,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Address water quality complaints

SCOPE ITEMS:

no water quality complaints in past 3 or 4 years; Discolored water when hydrants flushed during flow testing; Fire flow concerns during flow testing; Entire neighborhood is of same vintage and may need to be programmed for replacement;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

17 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improved water quality for customers

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Need to see if the Exmoor Looping Water Main and Londonderry
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2010	5/1/2011	Water Main Replacement project (UT-WS-08-26) corrects the
Construction:	7/1/2011	11/1/2011	situation, and it not then investigate options.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Dexter Avenue Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-10 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$600,000YEARS IN CIP:Vest

IDENTIFIED NEED:

LOCATION MAP:

SCOPE ITEMS: Being driven by road project; Some break history on west end near Maple; Contamination on Maple Shoppes site - approved Brownfield site, and found under N. Maple Rd; May need to stub out under Maple during resurfacing; stubs for Maple Shoppes; **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)] 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment 0 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Bevond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 **BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS** \$0 \$0 \$600 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$600 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$600 \$600 \$0 \$0

PROJECT NAME: Distribution System Monitoring and Security

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-92-27 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:UrgentTOTAL COST:\$2,000,000YEARS IN CIP:16

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Security improvements at the Water Treatment Plant.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Add security improvements at the Water Treatment Plant to safeguard the City's drinking water.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- -1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

- -1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- -1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

2 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improves security of the water system through monitoring of finished water in the dsitribution system and security upgrades at water system facilites. Improves quality of water delivered to customers by providing real-time water quality information.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Tr

Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE:
POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

Process Control and Data Management Report (1998)

SCHEDULE:	Start	End
Study:	1/1/2006	1/1/2007
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2008	7/1/2009
Construction:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Water distribution system and Water Treatment Plant security concerns have increased need for thus type of instrumentation and security improvements.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$300	\$1,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000
		\$300	\$1,700	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000



PROJECT NAME: Dover Court Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-11 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES FIELD OPERATIONS

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$97,000
YEARS IN CIP:	

IDENTIFIED NEED: Increasing break rate

LOCATION MAP:

SCOPE ITEMS:

Exterior corrosion; Check soil aggressiveness; No other break history is sub, but expected life should be reviewed; Clean up service connection arrangements; Review other records for streets and utilitiues -conditions & expected remaining life;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 **BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS** \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Downtown Valve Insertion/Replacement

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-08-30 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Central
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$400,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Operable valves needed to isolation water main in downtown area in the event of maintenance or a water main break.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Add isolation valves in strategic locations in downtown; Night-time work likely req'd; Possible use of new resilient wedge insertion valve; Coordinate/engage downtown merchants and DDA; Many mains old, sand cast; Number of operating valves limited;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs

0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated SCHEDULE: Start End Sc

SCHEDULE:StartEndSCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:Study:____________Design/Acquisition:______Construction:10/1/20116/1/2013

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) * Be							eyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400	

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Eisenhower Parkway Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-01-08 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	South
PRIORITY:	Desirable
TOTAL COST:	\$275,000
YEARS IN CIP:	7

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Gap in transmission system

SCOPE ITEMS:

Complete loop between AADL Mallets Creek Branch and the 16" main at west side of Buhr Park

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Will improve the water transmission system in the Southeast Pressure district

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Needs to be completed to provide service and to strengthen water
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2009	5/1/2010	system grid. Some parcels do not have water service available.
Construction:	7/1/2010	11/1/2010	approximately 700 feet, completed by a developer.

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *							
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$275	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$275
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$275	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$275



PROJECT NAME: Elevated Storage Tank (West High Service District) **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-01-10 PLANNING AREA: TBD **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Urgent **New Construction** SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$2,525,000 WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: 7 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Provide pressure regulation and storage on the West Side of Ann Arbor. SCOPE ITEMS: Add elevated storage tank and associated piping on West Side of Ann Arbor. -94 **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 1 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

This project will reduce interruption of service, reduce pressure fluctuations, allow more efficient use of pumps and

Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

FY11

\$0

\$0

To coordinate with WHS Pump Replacement because they are interrelated.

Project should be coordinated with West High Service Pump replacement project to eliminate the need for redundant modeling

FY13

\$225

\$225

Bevond **FY15**

\$0

\$0

Total

\$2.525

\$2,525

FY15

\$0

\$0

FY14

\$2,300

\$2,300

Funding (in thousands) *

FY12

\$0

\$0

Water Master Plan (2000)

efforts.

FY10

\$0

\$0

End

6/1/2013

6/1/2014

Prior

Years

\$0

\$0

3

-1

0

6

TOTAL SCORE BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

Revenue Source Name

BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS

STUDY REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:

Construction:

Design/Acquisition:

Study:

Rev

6907

Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

Start

1/1/2013

7/1/2013

Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

minimize potential pressure loss in the West High Service District.

Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

492

PROJECT NAME: Elmcrest Drive Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-29 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$55,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PVC main rather than creating a loop; upsizing main; Adding hydrant at end in culde-sac; Review hydrant coverage in area; Tied to resurfacing;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

13 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improved water quality and fire coverage

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated SCHEDULE: Start End SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

	Start	LIIG	SCHEDOLL
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2008	3/1/2009	
Construction:	4/1/2009	7/1/2009	

		Prior	Prior Funding (in thousands) * Beyo							ond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$55	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55	
		\$55	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55	



PROJECT NAME: Exmoor Looping Water Main & Londonderry Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-26 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:NortheastPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$200,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact

- Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

17 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Looping water mains will improve water quality to customers and system redundancy

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Project may improve water quality in general area and my negate
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2007	2/1/2008	need for some other qater quality CIP projects
Construction:	5/1/2008	7/1/2008	

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *				Beyond			
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200
		\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200



PROJECT NAME: Geddes and South East District Control Valve **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-06-07 PLANNING AREA: Northeast **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Important **New Construction** SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$200,000 SYSTEM PLANNING YEARS IN CIP: 2 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Remote control of a boundary valve between Geddes and SE High Service districts. SCOPE ITEMS: Add valve, valve pit, and remote control capability for added operation flexibility of water distribution system. **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** ALLUP PARK RD Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact E HIRON RIVER DR 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance 3 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities 1 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)] 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

The valve should allow flow in either direction for redundancy of supply to both districts.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:	
Study:				
Design/Acquisition:	11/1/2012	4/1/2013		
Construction:	5/1/2013	6/1/2013		

Beyond
FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 FY15 Total
\$0 \$200 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200
\$0 \$200 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200

PROJECT NAME: Geddes Dam - Coating Structural Steel

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-03 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:Ann Arbor TwpPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:Content

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Re-coat structural steel to extend life of asset.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Blast and remove existing coating system, and re-coat all structural steel.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Extend life of the asset.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated SCHEDULE: Start End SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Study:			Based on expected life of coating system.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2011	7/1/2012	

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	



PROJECT NAME: Gravity and West High Boundary Valve Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-06-08 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:West, CentralPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$400,000YEARS IN CIP:2

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Control leaking valves across pressur district boundary.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Remove check valves and replace with isolation valves.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	9/1/2012	7/1/2013	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Harbal/Laird Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-05 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Northeast
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$515,000
YEARS IN CIP:	

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Loop the existing dead-end water main on Harbal Drive

SCOPE ITEMS:

Review conditions of street & other utilities for remaining life; Examine for possible re-forestation opportunities; Look at design alternatives to loop Harbal & adjust pressure boundary to move Jones Drive loop to Plymouth Rd.; Filters exist on Harbal.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs

0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:



STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$515 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$515 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$515 \$0 \$0 \$515 \$0 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Hiawatha and Loyola Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-06-11 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	West, Northeast
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$260,000
YEARS IN CIP:	2

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:
-1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

18 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improve water quality and fire coverage for residents.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Resident Initiated SCHEDULE: Start End SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

	Otart	LIIG	CONEDULE COOTINICATION.
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:	8/1/2007	3/1/2008	
Construction:	7/1/2008	9/1/2008	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$260	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260
		\$260	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Leak Detection Survey

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-06-17

Study PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:City-widePRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$350,000YEARS IN CIP:2

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Determine location of leaks in water distribution system.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Use leak detection equipment to locate leaks in system.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 4 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 1 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

11 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Will identify areas of the waer distribution system in need to replacement to reduce amount of unaccounted for water loss and service disruption.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End
Study:	9/1/2011	6/1/2012
Design/Acquisition:		
Construction:		

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

		Prior	Prior Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	



PROJECT NAME: Manchester Elevated Water Tank Paint Project

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-02-19 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:SouthPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$700,000YEARS IN CIP:6

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Re-coat tank exterior and dry interior to prolong tank life.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Build containment around tank. Blast/remove dry interior and exterior tank coatings. Recoat with engineered coating system.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 2 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 1 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

12 TOTAL SCORE

E STADIUM BLVD

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Recoating the tank will eliminate potential water quality problems caused by interior corrosion of the tank. Recoating the tank will also extend the life of the tank and minimize the need for future structural repairs.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			The existing coating system is beyond its expected life. The project
Design/Acquisition:	10/1/2012	12/1/2012	schedule has been delayed to await completion of Water System
Construction:	3/1/2013	5/1/2013	Master Plan.

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Bevond **FY15 Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10 FY11 FY12** FY14 **FY15** Rev **FY13** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$700 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$700 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$700 \$0 \$0 \$700 \$0 \$0

PROJECT NAME: Miller Avenue Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-16 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$600,000YEARS IN CIP:Vest

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace water main past useful life in coordination with road project

SCOPE ITEMS:

Driven by road project; Some bad pipe at Saunders Crescent

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **Revenue Source Name FY15 FY15** Rev Years **FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$600 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$600 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$600 \$600 \$0



PROJECT NAME: New Well at Steere Farm

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-12 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	Pittsfield Twp.		
PRIORITY:	Important		
TOTAL COST:	\$1,900,000		
VEARS IN CIP	0		

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Increase well water supply capacity for water treatment plant.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Develop new well at Steere Farm.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improves reliability of potable well water supply for the water system. Well water is critical to the treatment process as well as provides a back-up supply if the river supply is not available.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End		
Study:	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	l	
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2013	1/1/2014		
Construction:	1/1/2014	7/1/2014		

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Improve reliability of the well water supply for the City's drinking water.

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *				Bevond			
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$500	\$1,200	\$0	\$1,900
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$500	\$1,200	\$0	\$1,900


PROJECT NAME: Nob Hill Water Main Replacements

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-31 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Central
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$240,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

Flow testing for Water Master Plan, low pressure; Examine possible boundary adjustment to move into West High; Main breaks are steady (in lower pressure district) - - will likely need to replace w/m if switched over to higher pressure district;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

16 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Reduced fire flow in existing mains is addressed



STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Fire flow upgrades are needed
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2008	4/1/2009	
Construction:	4/1/2009	7/1/2009	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$240	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$240
		\$0	\$240	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$240



PROJECT NAME: North State Street Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID:	UT-WS-08-35	PLANNING AREA:	Central
PROJECT TYPE:	Replacement	PRIORITY:	Desirable
SUBMITTED BY:	PUBLIC SERVICES	TOTAL COST:	\$125,000
	SYSTEM PLANNING	YEARS IN CIP:	0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace water main in conjunction with brick pavement replacement

SCOPE ITEMS:

Road work (brick pavement replacement) is driver; Hydrant coverage needs to be reviewed;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 1 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

14 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Eliminate water quality issues and prevent costly main break repairs.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Perform with brick replacement project.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/209	3/1/2010	
Construction:	5/1/2010	7/1/2010	

Staff Initiated

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	housands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: North University Court Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-10-17 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA: Central **PRIORITY:** Desirable \$235,000 TOTAL COST: YEARS IN CIP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

LOCATION MAP:



Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment



BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:**

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$235 \$0 \$0 \$235 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$235 \$0 \$0 \$235 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Ozone Residual Monitor Replacement

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-08 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$150,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace ozone residual monitors before failure. They are critical for process control at the WTP.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace ozone residual monitors.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Residual montiors are critical components for compliance with Safe Drinking Water Act.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Replace before monitors exhibit signs of failure.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2010	7/1/2011	

	Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150
	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150
	Revenue Source Name BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	Revenue Source NamePrior YearsBOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0	Revenue Source NamePriorBOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	Revenue Source NameFY10FY11BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$150\$150	FuiorRevenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0	Funding (in thousands)Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0	Prior Newenue Source NamePrior YearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0	Funding (in Housands) +Revenue Source NameYearsFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14FY15BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$150\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0	PriorFunction </td



PROJECT NAME: Packard Water Main Bore

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-01-25 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	South
PRIORITY:	Desirable
TOTAL COST:	\$130,000
YEARS IN CIP:	7

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Removal of master meters at The Village

SCOPE ITEMS:

Look at doing by open cut when road is resurfaced/ reconstructed

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

9 TOTAL SCORE

STORING PLOT STORY STORY

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improved water quality and fire protection by improving the distribution system grid.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Provide improved water quality and fire protection. Design is 70%
Design/Acquisition:	6/1/2001	6/1/2010	complete from 2001.
Construction:	7/1/2010	9/1/2010	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130	\$0	\$0	\$130
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130	\$0	\$0	\$130

PROJECT NAME: Pauline/WHS Return Loop Water Main

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-01-26 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:CentralPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$2,400,000YEARS IN CIP:7

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Pipe capacity needed was validated by the Water Distribution Master Plan in 2000

SCOPE ITEMS:

Install 16 inch transmission main near boundary of West High Service (WHS) and Gravity Districts Strengthen fire flows in southern part of WHS.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

-1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

This main will form part of the West High Service Transmission Loop which will allow full service on Ultimate Maximum Day.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHED
Study:	7/1/2008	10/1/2008	The sys
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2010	4/1/2011	
Construction:	5/1/2011	9/1/2012	

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

The system is not at maximum.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,020	\$380	\$2,400
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,020	\$380	\$2,400



PROJECT NAME: Plant #2 Hydraulic Corrections

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-92-28 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Desirable
TOTAL COST:	\$725,000
YEARS IN CIP:	16

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Bottlenecks at the WTP prevent plant from maximizing throughput of water.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Remove bottlenecks at the plant to improve ability to treat plant capacity.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

7 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Increase water production capacity and meet agreement with Scio township.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Service Agreement with Scio Township (1994)

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:	7/1/2014	12/1/2014	Based on predicted increase in water demand. Project will be
Design/Acquisition:	12/1/2014	5/1/2015	delayed to await results and prioritization of Water System Master
Construction:	7/1/2015	12/1/2015	Plan.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125	\$600	\$725
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$125	\$600	\$725

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Raw Water Main (30") Upgrade (Seventh Easterly)

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-09-03 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA:SouthPRIORITY:UrgentTOTAL COST:\$1,530,000YEARS IN CIP:

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Replace in conjuction with road reconstruction project

SCOPE ITEMS:

Part of Stadium Corridor; Part of main (across golf course) is transite; Close coordination with WTP during construction;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15 FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$1,530 \$0 \$0 \$1,530 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,530 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,530 \$0 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Repair Roof of South Industrial Tank

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-14 Capital Maintenance PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:SouthPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$500,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Corrosion identified on underside of roof at S Industrial Tank.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Repair roof by coating areas where corrosion is apparent on tank interior roof.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Repairing the coating system will extend the life ot the tank and prevent a potential structural failure.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Repair before coating failure could have structural consequences.
Design/Acquisition:	1/1/2011	7/1/2011	
Construction:	7/1/2011	7/1/2012	

		Prior Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500



PROJECT NAME: Repair Valves Behind Ozone Building

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-15 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Valves south of ozone disinfection facility are not operable.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Build valve pits and repair/replace non-functional valve south of ozone disinfection facility.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

S on = (-1)]

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Cleaning and maintenance of the ozone contactors will be possible.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Necessary to ensure ability to maintain contents of ozone contactors.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2010	12/1/2010	
Construction:	1/1/2011	7/1/2011	

		Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
		\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250

PROJECT NAME: Replace Filter Effluent Turbidimeters **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-08-11 PLANNING AREA: West **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Urgent Replacement SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES \$200,000 TOTAL COST: WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: 0 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Replace filter effluent turbidimeters before failure. They are not supported any longer by the manuf and are critical to process control at the WTP. SCOPE ITEMS: Replace filter effluent turbidimeters with current model. Older model is no longer supported by the manufacturer. **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact UNSET RO 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance OLDEN RD DMONA RD Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 1 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities END BYDDING **ROO** 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens WA SESTRORT. 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)] CLAIR R 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

10 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Reliable and accurate turbidimeters are critical for effective water treatment. If monitors fail, it could result in a violation of Safe Drinking Water Act regulations.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Replace units before existing units fail.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2010	7/1/2011	

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *					Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200
		\$0	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200

PROJECT NAME: Replace Filter Press Plates

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-05 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$350,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Filter press plates have reached end of usable life.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace filter press plates.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Plates must be replaced because they have exceeded their useful life.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Replace plates prior to coating failure.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	

		Prior Funding (in thousands) *							Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350



PROJECT NAME: Replace Limeslakers at Water Treatment Plant **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-06-05 PLANNING AREA: West **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Urgent Replacement SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$3,000,000 WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: 2 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Limeslakers are corroded beyond repair. Lime bins require safety improvements. SCOPE ITEMS: Replace lime slakers with new unit. Modify lime bins to address safety problems with existing bins. **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** SUNSET RD Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance OLDEN RD

- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

13 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Lime softening is one of the main treatment processes at the WTP. Limeslakers are a critical component of this process. Without the ability to soften the water, the WTP would not be able to provide a suitable finished product to the community.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:**

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHED
Study:			Existing
Design/Acquisition:	8/1/2008	2/1/2009	They hav
Construction:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010	continue

ULE JUSTIFICATION:

limeslakers are nearing their useful life and are deteriorated. ve been repaired serveral times. It is not cost effective to to rebuild this equipment.

9

RED OAK PL

WOOD WA

		Prior Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$250	\$2,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000
		\$250	\$2,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000

PROJECT NAME: Replace Section of Well Transmission Pipe From Steere Farm

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-13 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:SouthPRIORITY:UrgentTOTAL COST:\$6,400,000YEARS IN CIP:0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Increased capacity of well water from Steere Farm to the WTP.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Increase size of well transmission pipe and replace sections in poor condition.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

1 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 2 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

9 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Increase supply and reiliability of well water transmission to the Water Treatment Plant. Well water is critical to the treatment process as well as provides a back-up supply if the river supply is not available.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	Ş
Study:	1/1/2013	7/1/2013	I
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2013	7/1/2014	
Construction:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Improve reliability of the well water supply for the City's drinking water.

		Funding (in thousands) *							Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$850	\$5,400	\$0	\$6,400	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150	\$850	\$5,400	\$0	\$6,400	



PROJECT NAME: Replace Steere Farm Well Pump Engines

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-06 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:Pittsfield Twp.PRIORITY:UrgentTOTAL COST:\$1,400,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Remote control capability for Steere Farm Well pump engines.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace engines with dual-drive engines capabile of operating on electric as well as natural gas. Provide capability of remote start/stop.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 4 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Wells are a critical component of the source water for the water treatment plant. Without reliable pump engines, the reliability of the well supply to the plant is jeopardized.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Project to be initiated before major overhaul of engines is required.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2011	1/1/2012	
Construction:	1/1/2012	12/1/2012	

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Russet, Russell & Redeemer Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-15 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$1,400,000YEARS IN CIP:Important

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Main near end of useful life; break rate increasing

SCOPE ITEMS:

Single feed to sub, looped on itself; Look at modeling and/or flow testing; If flow more than one hydrant in sub, no water at back (north end) of sub; break rate increasing at Redeemer and Russell intersection (n'ly);

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory cor
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Bevond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 **BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS** \$1,400 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,400 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,400 \$0 \$0 \$1,400 \$0 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Sludge Storage Repairs

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-16 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Mixers in sludge storage do not work.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace mixers with mechanical mixers or jet mixers.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Sludge storage will be available for service.



OLDEN RD

EWOOD WAY

SENDING RD

IEWPOR1

8

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End
Study:		
Design/Acquisition:		
Construction:	7/1/2012	7/1/2013

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Sludge storage is not available until repairs are completed. Ability to process sludge residuals is limited without use of this storage facility.

		Prior Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250

PROJECT NAME: Sodium Hydroxide Feed System Expansion **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-10-04 PLANNING AREA: West **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Important **New Construction** SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$3,000,000 WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Reduce finished water hardness and increase alkalinity to improve finished water quality. R SCOPE ITEMS: Expand sodium hydroxide feed system at the Water Treatment Plant.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- -1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

4 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

-1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Reduces finished water hardness per recommendation of public advisory committee. Increases finished water alkalinity which reduces rusty water problems in distribution system. Reduces applied turbidity to the filters which improves regulatory compliance

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2006)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Resident Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:	7/1/2012	7/1/2013	

		Prior	Prior Funding (in thousands) * Beyon							
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,000



PROJECT NAME: South Boulevard Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-01-30 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	South
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$160,000
YEARS IN CIP:	7

STADIUM

PACKARD

Her

CKMAN BLVD

LOCATION MAP:

WISTERS

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Address water quality issues

SCOPE ITEMS:

Filters on 6 homes; Field Operations flushes the main weekly; need to be aware of district boundaries if/when looped; coordinate with sanitary project;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

11 TOTAL SCORE

latory compliance nd/or maintenance costs or aesthetic opportunities ce for citizens icture, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Construction of this main will eliminate color complaints and water quality issues. It will also replace a 4" main with an 8" looping main improving fire coverage.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			This work should be done while street is torn up for sewer lift station
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2011	4/1/2012	work. May be delayed due to easement acquisition.
Construction:	4/1/2012	7/1/2012	

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$160	

PROJECT NAME: South Fifth Avenue Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-08-25 **New Construction** PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA: Central **PRIORITY:** Important TOTAL COST: \$220,000 YEARS IN CIP: 0

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Lack of fire flow; undersized main

SCOPE ITEMS:

Fire flow between Liberty and Washington; Review model results from Master Plan for limits:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 4 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs 1
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

7/1/2007

4/1/2008

15 TOTAL SCORE

Design/Acquisition:

Construction:

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Fire flow upgrades will be provided

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFEREN POLICY/OTHER R	CE: EFERENCE:	Staff Initiat	ted
SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION
Study:			Needed to provide service to r

3/1/2008

7/1/2008

:

new court/police facility.

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	ng (in thousands) *				Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total		
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$220	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220		
		\$0	\$0	\$220	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$220		



PROJECT NAME: Stadium Boulevard Water Main Replacement (Hutchins to Kipke)

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-10-12 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA: South **PRIORITY:** Important \$310,000 TOTAL COST:

LOCATION MAP:

YEARS IN CIP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

Driven by road project

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 0

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs

0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:**

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCI
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

HEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

		Prior Funding (in thousands) *							Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
5907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$310
		\$0	\$0	\$310	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$310



PROJECT NAME: State Street Raw Water - Reconstruct Interconnection **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-01-31 PLANNING AREA: South **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Desirable Replacement SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES \$180,000 TOTAL COST: SYSTEM PLANNING YEARS IN CIP: 7 LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Needs to be reconstructed to have set of dual isolations valves at interconnection

SCOPE ITEMS:

Traffic Control; Needs to be done before or with resurfacing;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

TOTAL SCORE 5

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Well water safe for drinking may supply the distribution system if treated water source cannot meet demand in emergency conditions.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:** Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Aging valves need to be replaced to ensure reliability of the isolation
Design/Acquisition:	2/1/2010	6/1/2010	at this emergency inter-connection point.
Construction:	7/1/2010	8/1/2010	

		Funding (in thousands) *				Beyond				
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180	\$0	\$180
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180	\$0	\$180





PROJECT NAME: Structural Repair and Painting Basins #4 and #5 at Water Treatment Pla **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-06-04 PLANNING AREA: West **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Urgent **Capital Maintenance** SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$500.000 WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: 2 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Coating failure on basins require repair. SCOPE ITEMS: Recoat all metal components of basins. Perform strucutural steel repair/replacement as needed for components beyond the stage of restoration by recoating. **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** SUNSET RO Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 2 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs OLDEN RD 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities 0 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)] ED OAN RD 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

8 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Coatings are beyond useful life. Delay of this project could affect structural integrity of metals in this basin. Structural repair will facilitate future maintenance and eliminate short-circuiting in the basin, which will improve treatment.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Coatings are beyond useful life. Delay of project increases the
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2009	12/1/2009	potential of more costly structural repairs in the future.
Construction:	2/2/2010	6/1/2010	

		Prior		Funding (in thousands) *					Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	
		\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	

PROJECT NAME: Structural Repairs Project - Phase 2

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-04 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$1,750,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Repair deteriorating concrete and brick at the Water Treatment Plant.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Repair deteriorating concrete and brick at the Water Treatment Plant.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 3 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

6 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Replacement/rehbabilitation of infrastruture before it fails is more cost effective then waiting until failure. Proper timing of this work reduce its net cost .

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: WaterTreatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Work must be completed before structural failures increase the
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2010	7/1/2011	potential repair costs.
Construction:	7/1/2011	7/1/2012	

		Prior		Funding (in thousands) *				Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,750
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,750

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Taylor Street Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-10-13 **New Construction** PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA:	Northeast
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$420,000
YEARS IN CIP:	

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED: Increase fire coverage

SCOPE ITEMS:

Raised during negotiations for easement across Northside School for Hiawatha Water Main; At Pontiac Trail there is an existing stub; Pear Street has only 2" main - - look to upsize?;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0
- Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:**

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$420 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$420 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$420 \$420 \$0 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Traver Road PRV

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-08-27 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:NortheastPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$100,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Need to modify reduced pressure zones due to cinfiguratio of Hidewaway Lane development

SCOPE ITEMS:

Coordinate with the operation of the existing pressure reduced zone; Include in Traver Knoll district? Or out of Traver Knoll - - examine operation from bigger perspective;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 2 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

10 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Allows closed valve to be opened allowing flow during pressure drops.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			Completion of Hideaway Lane development aniticpated for 2008.
Design/Acquisition:	7/1/2010	10/1/2010	
Construction:	10/1/2010	7/1/2011	

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100
		\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100



O

DAVID CT

IG ST

POINTELN

(FAL OSAGE

ROADVIEWLY

PROJECT NAME: Valhalla Drive Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-10-14

New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:SouthPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$325,000YEARS IN CIP:Important

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Lack of water system in area to be serviced with sanitary system

SCOPE ITEMS:

Coordinate with sanitary project; Private drive, no right-of-way; easements needed; How to loop out?;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15 FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10** FY11 **FY12 FY13 FY14** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$325 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$325 \$0 \$0 \$325 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$325 \$0



PROJECT NAME: Vaughn Street Water Main

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-10-08 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:CentralPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$245,000YEARS IN CIP:Important

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Reduced fireflow/ coverage

SCOPE ITEMS:

Small undersized main; hydrant in middle of block has no flow; Review hydrant coverage;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev Revenue Source Name	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$245	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245
		\$0	\$245	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245



PROJECT NAME: Washtenaw Water Main Replacement

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-06-14 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:	Northeast
PRIORITY:	Important
TOTAL COST:	\$1,470,000
YEARS IN CIP:	2

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:
-1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens

0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance

4 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs

0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

12 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Improve water service reliability.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE POLICY/OTHER RE	CE: EFERENCE:	Staff Initiate	d	
SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:	
Study:				
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2008	4/1/2009		
Construction:	7/1/2009	10/1/2009		

		Prior		Fun	ding (in tl	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$1,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,470
		\$0	\$1,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,470



PROJECT NAME: Washtenaw Water Main Upgrade (South University to Hill Street)

PROJECT ID:
PROJECT TYPE:
SUBMITTED BY:

UT-WS-10-06 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING PLANNING AREA:CentralPRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$560,000YEARS IN CIP:Contral

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Undersized water main needs to be upsized

SCOPE ITEMS:

New Life Church court agreement requirement; Previously noted spot under sidewalk (s'ly side?); Gas main recently relocated to under sidewalk? Same sidewalk?;

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Beyond **FY15** Rev **Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15** Total 6907 BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS \$0 \$0 \$0 \$560 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$560 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$560 \$0 \$0 \$560



PROJECT NAME: Water Distribution System Evaluation - Master Plan Update

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-24 Study PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:City-widePRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$500,000YEARS IN CIP:0

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Protect Public Health by providing adeuqate water quality and quanitity to residents under all operating conditions

SCOPE ITEMS:

Involvement of WTP and Field necessary. GIS and AMR data critical to project

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

13 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

This examination of the city's water distribution system will identify system deficiencies and guide future needs for the system.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:		Staff Initiate	d
SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:	6/1/2008	12/1/2009	This study is needed to satisfy the MDEQ.
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Beyond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
		\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Water Distribution System Master Plan - Asset Management

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-09-05 Study PUBLIC SERVICES SYSTEM PLANNING

PLANNING AREA:CitywidePRIORITY:DesirableTOTAL COST:\$500,000YEARS IN CIP:Citywide

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Project needed to identify the appropriate level of service to manage the water system and its assets

SCOPE ITEMS:

Accurate inventory of the water system in GIS necessary for project to begin. Project requires collaboration with Field Operations and Water Plant staff. Project will require public involvement to determine desired level of service.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
- 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 3 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 3 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 3 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Provides a defensible base for future O&M and capital planning for the water system.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Master Plan (2000)

STUDY REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Water Treatment and Facilities Master Plan (2006)

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010	
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

		Prior		Fun	ding (in th	nousands)	*		Bevond	
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500
		\$0	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500



PROJECT NAME: Water Laboratory Information Management System

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-10-07 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA: West **PRIORITY:** Important TOTAL COST: \$200,000 YEARS IN CIP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Process and Lab Data management systems are cumbersome and outdated. It is difficult to retrieve data and reporting fucntions are limited.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Replace informal spreadsheet data management system with comprehensive Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS).

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

More efficient management of data, better reporting, and easier retrieval of information.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: **POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:** Staff Initiated

SCHEDULE:	Start	End
Study:		
Design/Acquisition:		
Construction:	8/1/2010	7/1/2

:	End	S
		E
		n
010	7/1/2011	е

CHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Existing system is cumbersome to use, has limited functionality and is naintenance intensive. Replacing with a LIMS will improve lab fficiency, increase functionality and storage data.

		Prior	Prior Funding (in thousands) * Beyond							
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
2742	OPERATING TRANSFER FROM 0042	\$0	\$0	\$100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100
2743	OPERATING TRANSFER FROM 0043	\$0	\$0	\$75	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$75
2769	OPERATING TRANSFER FROM 0069	\$0	\$0	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25
		\$0	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200

LOCATION MAP:



PROJECT NAME: Water Treatment Plant Residuals Disposal Study

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-08-02 Study PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:	West
PRIORITY:	Urgent
TOTAL COST:	\$250,000
YEARS IN CIP:	0

RIVERWOOD

SC ORIA

EWAY LN

EWPORT RD

Nest POM R

LOCATION MAP:

FULMER ST

CRES

RGYLE

CREA

CRE

LER AVE

TNUBER TR

GRES

CRIES

HILLRIDGE BLV

NUNES DR

ND RNI

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Determine long term plan for residuals pond.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Study alternatives for long term plan for residuals pond.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 4 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- 0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 2 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

9 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Without a long term solution for handling water treatment residuals, the Water Treatment Plant does not have a reliable means of handling residuals when it filter presses are out of service for maintenance.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End			
Study:	7/1/2009	7/1/2010			
Design/Acquisition:					
Construction:					

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Prior	Funding (in thousands) *						Beyond	
Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25
\$0	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25
	Prior Years \$0 \$0	Prior FY10 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$250	Prior Fun Years FY10 FY11 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$0 \$250 \$0	PriorFunding (in the second condition of the second	Funding (in thousands)PriorFY10FY11FY12FY13 $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$	Funding (in thousands) *PriorFY10FY11FY12FY13FY14 $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$250$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$ $\$0$	Funding (in thousands) * Years FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$250 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Prior Funding (in thousands) * Beyond Years FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 FY15 \$0 \$250 \$0 <

PROJECT NAME: Well Booster Station

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY: UT-WS-92-35 New Construction PUBLIC SERVICES WATER TREATMENT

PLANNING AREA:TBDPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$1,500,000YEARS IN CIP:16

IDENTIFIED NEED:

Increase well water capacity to WTP.

SCOPE ITEMS:

Add booster pumping station to well water line.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:

- -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
- 2 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
- 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
- -1 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
- 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
- 2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens
- -1 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- -1 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

4 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Increased plant capacity, improved winter operations- both for sludge handling and water quality, and increased reliability of quality source water.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:	9/1/2011	3/1/2011	Necessary improvement to maintain future reliability of water
Design/Acquisition:	9/1/2011	3/1/2012	treatment plant operation. Project delayed to await completion of
Construction:	7/1/2012	12/1/2012	Water Resources Study.

Funding (in thousands) * Prior Bevond Rev **FY15 Revenue Source Name** Years **FY10 FY11 FY12 FY14 FY15** Total **FY13** 6907 **BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS** \$1,500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$350 \$1,150 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$350 \$1,150 \$0 \$0 \$1,500 \$0



PROJECT NAME: West High Service Pump and Electrical Upgrade **PROJECT ID:** UT-WS-92-37 PLANNING AREA: West **PROJECT TYPE: PRIORITY:** Urgent Replacement SUBMITTED BY: PUBLIC SERVICES TOTAL COST: \$3,450,000 WATER TREATMENT YEARS IN CIP: 16 **IDENTIFIED NEED:** LOCATION MAP: Replace west high service pumps to meet increased demand from Scio Township. SCOPE ITEMS: Replace west high service pumps with larger capacity pumps. Modify electrical system to accommodate new pumps. **PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:** Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items: SUNSET RD -1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact; 2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact 1 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens 3 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance 2 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs OLDEN RD 0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities

2 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

- 4 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]
- 3 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

15 **TOTAL SCORE**

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

Meet projected water demands, increase revenue through increased water sales. Scio Township pays for most of the work.

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE: Water Treatment Plant Facilities Master Plan - 2006

STUDY REFERENCE:

POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE: Service Agreement with Scio Township (1994)

SCHEDULE:	Start	End		
Study:	7/1/2009	12/1/2009		
Design/Acquisition:	12/1/2009	6/1/2010		
Construction:	6/1/2010	6/1/2011		

SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:

Based on projected water demands and water service agreement with Scio Township. Project has not yet been completed as contract "triggers" have not previously been met.

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *				Beyond			
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$0	\$180	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$180
6640	CONTRIBUTED CAPITAL-OTHER FUN	\$0	\$0	\$3,270	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,270
		\$0	\$0	\$3,450	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,450
6640	CONTRIBUTED CAPITAL-OTHER FUN	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3,270	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3


PROJECT NAME: West Stadium Water Main Replacement (Pauline Intersection)

PROJECT ID:	
PROJECT TYPE:	
SUBMITTED BY:	

UT-WS-09-01 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

PLANNING AREA:WestPRIORITY:ImportantTOTAL COST:\$250,000YEARS IN CIP:Important

LOCATION MAP:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

SCOPE ITEMS:

Drived by road project

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION:

Value indicates the degree to which the project will impact the below items:
-1 = Negative Impact; 0 = No Impact; 1 = Minimal Positive Impact;
2 = Some Positive Impact; 3 = Positive Impact; 4 = High Positive Impact
0 Protects health, safety, lives of citizens
0 Meets new, or maintains existing, regulatory compliance
0 Provides a net savings in operations and/or maintenance costs
0 Enhances social, cultural, recreational or aesthetic opportunities
0 Improves customer service, convenience for citizens

0 Maintains or improves existing infrastructure, facilities [Expanson = (-1)]

0 Reduces energy consumption, impacts on the environment

0 TOTAL SCORE

BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

		Prior	Funding (in thousands) *					Beyond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250
		\$250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250

Pin Dak Dr Hite Dat CT Hite Bryth hO de n.

PROJECT NAME: West Stadium Water Main Replacement (Pauline to Hutchins)

PROJECT ID: PROJECT TYPE: SUBMITTED BY:

IDENTIFIED NEED:

UT-WS-09-02 Replacement PUBLIC SERVICES PROJECT MANAGEMENT

LOCATION MAP:

PRIORITY:

TOTAL COST:

YEARS IN CIP:

PLANNING AREA: West

Important

\$675,000



BENEFICIAL IMPACTS:

MASTER PLAN REFERENCE:

STUDY REFERENCE: POLICY/OTHER REFERENCE:

SCHEDULE:	Start	End	SCHEDULE JUSTIFICATION:
Study:			
Design/Acquisition:			
Construction:			

		Funding (in thousands) *							Bevond		
Rev	Revenue Source Name	Years	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY15	Total	
6907	BOND/NOTE PROCEEDS	\$0	\$675	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$675	
		\$0	\$675	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$675	