Technology Overview – Things to Know

- To help prevent “Zoombombing,” (when an unauthorized person or stranger joins a Zoom event and says offensive comments or shows offensive images), the video, speaking, and screen sharing functions are available to presenters, but disabled for participants.
- You can communicate through the Chat feature.
- You can leave and rejoin the meeting at any time (unless the meeting is at capacity or you are removed for inappropriate behavior).
- Multiple opportunities for questions will be provided throughout the presentation.
- Presentation and additional materials are available at www.a2gov.org/lowertown

WELCOME!
The Lower Town Area Mobility Study Meeting Will Begin Soon.
**Technology Overview** – Ask a question/share a comment

We will be using the Q&A feature for those using a computer and the Raise Hand feature for those who are on the phone.

**Chat:**
- Please use the Chat feature located at the bottom of the screen to ask a question/comment.
- Type your question/comment.
- Press **Enter**.

**Computer**

**Phone**

**Raise Hand:**
- Select *9 to raise your hand
- You will be identified by the last 3 digits of your phone number
Zoom Meeting Norms

• Commit to learning and avoid speculation – we encourage you to ask questions through the chat feature so we can explore the issue together.

• When speaking over the phone, please move to a quiet area and silence any background sounds. We want to be sure that we hear what you are saying.

• Please remember the importance of rights and the dignity of others. With that, we ask that you:
  • Critique ideas, not people.
  • Are thoughtful about your language so this can be a comfortable and respectful forum for all participants - inappropriate written and/or verbal comment or language, including personal attacks and accusations, will result in the attendee being removed from the meeting.
Follow-up Expectations

• Meeting summaries will be posted by Monday, June 14 on the project website.

• Your feedback will be considered in addition to technical and cost considerations for the recommendations of this study.
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Why Do a Mobility Study?

- Need a holistic view of transportation conditions in the Lower Town area
- Consider all travelers in the area
- Overall goal is to make traveling around the Lower Town Area easier, safer, and more efficient
What We’re Studying

Purpose is to identify opportunities to make traveling easier within Lower Town.

Looking Specifically at:

- Traffic Congestion
- Bicycle Travel and Connections
- Pedestrian Movements
- Public Transit
- Roadway Safety
- Intersection Designs
Study Process

- Two Year Timeline
- Approximately 75% complete

Project Kick Off
- Understanding the existing transportation conditions in the area

Conditions Analysis

Project Vision and Goals
- Developing the metrics needed to evaluate each solution based on impact

Solution Development
- Evaluating and refining potential solutions to address mobility deficiencies

Final Recommendations
- Selecting and reporting the short-term and long-term recommendations

Public Engagement Opportunity
Outcomes of the Study

• Identify ways to improve mobility for all users
  • Drivers, cyclists, pedestrians, transit riders, etc.

• Explore opportunities to change travel habits
  • Changing travel habits and patterns can help limit congestion issues

• Innovative solutions that improve efficiency of the system
Today’s Public Meeting

- Identification of recommended mitigations, and alternatives being considered

- Looking for Further Input
What We’ve Heard

Stakeholder Concerns

- Gaps in non-motorized facilities
- Few walkable businesses
- Growing population in area
- Peak hour congestion from commuters
- Inadequate public transit frequency
- Traffic safety discourages walking/biking
- New development parking is inadequate
- Limited crossing opportunities of Huron River
What We’ve Heard

Concerns from Virtual Office Hours

• Specific areas in Study Area in need of safety improvements
• Residents don’t feel comfortable walking and biking
• Transit is not frequent enough to use
• Heavy traffic from M-14 coming through area
• Bike infrastructure is disconnected
• Safety concerns around A2 STEAM school
• New development is adding to traffic
• Safety conflicts between bikes and pedestrians
What We’ve Heard

Opportunities

• More frequency and more visibility to transit
• Improve walking connection to Hospital
• Easier access to Border-to-Border trail
• Add more mixed-use retail to new developments
• Improve snow clearance in winter for bikes and peds
• Add safe crossing infrastructure
• Create a cultural shift to more walking and biking
• Educate public on safely using streets
Pedestrian Analysis Approach

Team used multiple tools:
• Pedestrian Environmental Quality Index (PEQI)
• RSA
• NCHRP 562
• Public and Stakeholder Engagement

Benefits of these tools:
• Based on feedback from national experts
• Comprehensive
• Customizable
• Observational field survey
Pedestrian Findings

- Need for pedestrian crossing improvements
  - Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs)
  - High emphasis crossings
  - Ramp upgrades
  - Countdown signal heads
  - Install pedestrian warning signs
Pedestrian Findings

• Need for pedestrian crossing improvements
  ➢ Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs)
  ➢ High emphasis crossings
  ➢ Ramp upgrades
  ➢ Countdown signal heads
  ➢ Install pedestrian warning signs
Pedestrian Findings

- Improve the Pedestrian Experience
  - Street trees and increased greenbelt
  - Fill in system gaps (sidewalk, fence, etc.)
  - Lighting
  - School improvements
  - Traffic reductions
  - Speed management
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- Improve the Pedestrian Experience
  - Street trees and increased greenbelt
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Questions and Answers Break
Bicycle Analysis Approach

Team used multiple tools:
• Bicycle Environmental Quality Index (BEQI)
• Road Safety Audit
• Public and Stakeholder Engagement

Benefits of these tools:
• Based on feedback from national experts
• Comprehensive
• Customizable
• Observational field survey
Bicycle Environmental Quality Index (BEQI)

N / E Side

S / W Side

BEQI Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N / A</td>
<td>One-Way Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 - 20</td>
<td>Unsuitable for bicyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 40</td>
<td>Poor bicyclists conditions exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 - 60</td>
<td>Basic bicyclists conditions exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - 80</td>
<td>Reasonable bicyclists conditions exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 - 100</td>
<td>Ideal bicyclists conditions exist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Street & Intersection BEQI Scores calculated based on San Francisco Department of Public Health Methodology.
Bicycle Findings

• Cyclist Amenities
  ➢ Wayfinding signage
  ➢ Beginning and end of routes
  ➢ On street bike lanes
Bicycle Findings

- Safety Features
  - Bike lanes blocked
  - Obstructions
  - Boardwalk
  - Bike boulevard
  - Lanes adjacent to parking
Bicycle Findings

- Safety Features
  - Bike lanes blocked
  - Obstructions
  - Boardwalk
  - Bike boulevard
  - Lanes adjacent to parking
Questions and Answers Break
Transit

Team used multiple tools:
- Road Safety Audit
- Public and Stakeholder Engagement
- Brainstorming Session

Benefits of these tools:
- Observational field survey
Transit Findings

- Rider Amenities
  - Increase frequency and reliability
  - Upgrade bus stops for ADA compliance
  - Additional park and ride opportunities
  - Eliminate bus stop conflicts
  - Transit Signal Priority
Transit Findings

• Rider Amenities
  ➢ Increase frequency and reliability
  ➢ Upgrade bus stops for ADA compliance
  ➢ Additional park and ride opportunities
  ➢ Eliminate bus stop conflicts
  ➢ Transit Signal Priority
Questions and Answers Break
Motor Vehicles

Team used multiple tools:
• Public and Stakeholder Engagement
• Road Safety Audit
• Traffic Modeling
• Brainstorming Session
• Crash analysis

Benefits of these tools:
• Data driven analysis
• Observational field survey
MV Findings

- Infrastructure Improvements
  - Pavement Markings
  - Sign Upgrades
  - Pavement Condition
  - Guardrail
  - Signal Modernization
  - EV Charging Stations
  - Intersection Specific
MV Findings

- Driver Technologies
  - Changeable Message Signs
  - Speed Management & Warning Systems
  - Trans System/Demand Management (TSM/TDM)
Questions and Answers Break
High Congestion Intersections

Based on Model
• Plymouth Rd at Barton Dr
• M-14 at Barton Dr
• Dhu Varren Rd at Pontiac Trail
• Division St at Catherine St

Based on Observation
• Barton Dr at Pontiac Trail
• Maiden Ln/Broadway St/Moore St
• Maiden Ln at Fuller Rd
Barton at Plymouth Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ SEB Barton left-turn movement failing in AM peak hour
  ➢ 70+ seconds of delay

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Add a 2\textsuperscript{nd} left-turn as a shared left/right turn lane
  ➢ Reduces delay by 20+ seconds
Barton at Pontiac Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ On-street parking at intersection
  ➢ EB approach in AM peak (35 seconds of delay)

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Add dedicated EB right-turn lane (cut delays in half)
  ➢ Modern Roundabout (cut delays by more than 50%)
Barton at Pontiac Alternatives

- Improvement Alternatives
  - Restrict on-street parking on EB approach
  - Temporary bump-out with option to make permanent
Broadway / Division / Beakes Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ High number of crashes [73 in 5 years, including 10 with injuries]
  ➢ High number of conflict points [39, of which 14 are with pedestrian crossings]
  ➢ High speeds coming down Division
  ➢ Many streets coming together [7]
  ➢ Pedestrian crossing on curve
  ➢ On-street bike lane abruptly terminates 350’ south of Carey

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Options to reduce number of conflict points [from 41% to 64% fewer conflicts]
Pontiac at Dhu Varren Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ EB/WB under stop control
  ➢ High speeds on Pontiac Tr
  ➢ WB Dhu Varren delays in AM peak (LOS E, 36+ seconds of delay)

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Analyzed for signal (all approaches 20 seconds or less delay)
  ➢ Analyzed for roundabout (LOS A, less than 8 seconds of delay per approach)
    • Reduces Speeds
Barton at EB M-14 Ramp Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ All way stop intersection under MDOT jurisdiction
  ➢ Off ramp approach failing in AM (55+ seconds of delay)
  ➢ WB Barton fails in PM (59+ seconds of delay)

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Performed signal warrant analysis
  ➢ Signal option could reduce delays (needs to be reviewed as part of an MDOT interchange treatment)
Moore / Pontiac / Longshore Alternatives

- Operational Deficiencies
  - One-way pair south of intersection
  - Pedestrian crossing issues – north leg
  - Confusing geometry
  - Speeds
  - Train track near intersection
  - Bike lanes north of intersection
Moore / Pontiac / Longshore Alternatives

- Improvement Alternatives
  - Options to simplify intersection
  - Reduce conflict points [from no change to 44% fewer conflicts]
  - Roundabout
Broadway at Maiden/Moore Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ Congestion on Broadway (overall LOS C, 25 and 32 avg delays for AM and PM)
    • AM SBL 50+ seconds of delay
    • PM NBL and NWL fail (68 and 56 seconds delay)
  ➢ Speeds on Broadway
  ➢ Discontinuity of ped/bike facilities

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Modern Roundabout (reduces approach delays to 12 seconds or less)
  ➢ Hawk Signals for multi-lane ped/bike crossings
Transportation Demand & System Management Alternatives (TDM & TSM)

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ Congested peak periods of travel
  ➢ Vehicle emissions

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Improved non-motorized conditions
  ➢ Improve public transit, more Park & Ride options
  ➢ Ridesharing programs
  ➢ Incentives to give up parking
  ➢ Flexible work times
  ➢ Transit supportive development
  ➢ Signal retiming and coordination
Speed Management Alternatives

• Operational Deficiencies
  ➢ Corridors with noted high speeds (Broadway, Pontiac Tr, Division, Plymouth)
  ➢ Pedestrian and Bike Safety

• Improvement Alternatives
  ➢ Roundabouts
  ➢ Median islands
  ➢ Neckdowns
  ➢ On street parking
  ➢ Speed actuated signing
  ➢ Gateway treatments
  ➢ Bike Lanes
Questions and Answers Break
Next Steps

• Summary of Public Meeting #3
• Further Consolidation and Refinement of Alternatives
• Analysis and Evaluation of Alternatives
• Report Development
• “Office Hours” – Public Engagement Opportunities
• Public Meeting #4 – Overall Findings and Recommendations