

Scio Church Road (South Maple Road – Greenview Drive) Parking Elimination Postcard Response Summary

Mailing area addresses: 32
Postcard responses received: 17

This summary is a direct transcription of the postcard results and comments.

Scio Church Road Parking Elimination	
YES, I support the removal of on-street parking to accommodate bike lanes on Scio Church Road from west of Waltham Drive to Greenview Drive	6
NO, I do not support the removal of on-street parking to accommodate bike lanes on Scio Church Road from west of Waltham Drive to Greenview Drive	11
Not indicated	0
Comments received:	
Yes; Sounds great to us!	
Yes; Sure would be nice if the bike riders understood their responsibilities as cyclists and “rules of the road” vs. thinking they own the road and can do as they please.	
No; If this gets approved, homeowners should be compensated.	
No; Don’t need bike lanes on this stretch of Scio Church. I am a biker and never feel I need a bike lane here. Thanks!	
No; This is a major traffic route in & out of AA. Bikes can and do use the continuous sidewalk on north side of Scio Church which is fenced in & safe.	
No; If you add bike lanes they will not connect to any path on the west end. Until if the bridge gets widened the path stops anyway.	
No; Way too much congestion. Sad you took away one lane. Bike lane downtown ridiculous! Multi-use walk across street is dangerous no way out.	
No; If this is passed – limited (no overnight) parking after construction is completed on Waltham Dr. & Covington to Brampton Ct. to Saxon. Don’t want a parking lot in front of my home!	
No; This is our home. Where are visitors supposed to park? Emphatically NO!!!!	
No; Our home utilizes Scio Church due to our 4-house block – Old Pear Tree Ct. 2. During rush hour we utilize inside lane to reach our street. 3. Right turn lane at Maple is needed due to often long traffic lines. One traffic lane each way is unacceptable. 4. However well intended, a bike lane (little used) is not the answer. The “non-motorized goal” is for high density areas.	
No; Those of us who live on Scio Church would lose the only on-street parking.	