

ADDENDUM No.2
RFP 859, Sign Inventory Project
City of Ann Arbor
Due: Wednesday, June 5, 2013 at 11:00AM

The following changes, additions, and/or deletions shall be made to the Request for Proposal for the **RFP 859- Sign Inventory Project**, on which bids will be received on or before 11:00AM (local time) **Wednesday, June 5, 2013**.

The information contained herein shall take precedence over the original documents and all previous addenda, and is appended thereto. This Addendum includes 2 pages.

Respondents are directed to take note in its review of the documents of the following questions and City responses as they affect work or details in other areas not specifically referenced here.

Questions and Answers

1. Is it possible for a route list, or preferably a shapefile, of city maintained routes to be made available?
 - a. **An interactive GIS map of the roads can be found at <http://gisapp.ewashtenaw.org/mapannarborx/Viewer.html?Viewer=MapAnnArbor>**
2. Does Ann Arbor already have assembly IDs assigned or is that something the Vendor will provide?
 - a. **Ann Arbor already has assembly ids assigned**
3. Can the desired sign attributes of "Ann Arbor sticker" and "sticker date" be expanded upon? Maybe an image or example of the sticker could be made available?



4. The RFP “ City of Ann Arbor Public Service Sign Inventory Project # 859” in Section II, SCOPE OF WORK, lists one of attributes for signs as “**material**” in the item number 3. we are assuming you are referring to sheeting material, is this correct?
 - a. **Correct**
5. If the attribute “**material**” is related to sign sheeting material, is it sufficient to fulfill this data requirement by identifying whether the sheeting material is prismatic or glass beaded or in addition does the sheeting material need to be also categorized by ASTM Type?
 - a. **Just if it is diamond grade, engineer grade, construction grade, etc**
6. In the sign attribute you indicate “**reflectivity**”. Do you consider this a objective actual measure of the signs retroreflectivity or are you inferring you are accepting a completely subjective method, such as the MUTCD defined methods of visual nighttime inspection or comparison panel methods.
 - a. **Measured with an retroflectometer (an instrument) would be what we would like but it will be expensive. So that would be a the best or they could bid it both ways.**