

## WOULD YOU SUPPORT A DOG PARK LOCATED IN BROADWAY PARK?

It's in the center of the city, close to downtown, has room for the dogs to roam, and get good exercise.

Central location. Problem is lack of parking! Access across busy street(s) is a problem.

Do people really want another smelly, muddy mess in the city. Dog parks are disgusting eye soars. Who cares what the city plan says. What do the people creating the city plan know anyway?

Closer to where I live and more feasible to travel to.

This is prime riverfront property. There are better city uses for this space

I believe there should be more dog parks and BIGGER dog parks. The ones Ann Arbor currently has are quite small compared to other cities' dog parks. While I think it's important to have a dog park downtown, I hope more effort will also be made to build a dog park that is bigger elsewhere.

Closer to my home and downtown where I spend time shopping and visiting friends; convenient. I also know many folks who live near area who would use it, although they might be aware of survey or planning.

Love the location

I'm not in favor of dog parks. Potential for danger from aggressive dogs. Potential for parasites and dog flu.

It would be in a convenient, central location.

I would be fine with this location, but one located more on the west side of Ann Arbor would be preferred.

Downtown can be hard to traverse.

More convenient location than Olson or Swift

I think the location is great; it is on the smallish side, but I have a small dog.

Yes, but wish there was a way to the river. Allow the river to be free of fences, so the dogs can play in it! I would use it more if there was a place where dogs could go in the water.

I like dogs that behave.

The need for another dog park hasn't been demonstrated.

While Swift is more convenient to my home I am concerned about the leachate from the landfill underneath--the mud puddle are way to sticky and smelly to be just water. So I would drive a little further to use a park that wasn't a likely source of contaminants.

Better options out there with easier accessed parking. Esch Park for instance on the right side has a significant space that is rarely used which could facilitate a dog park.

A centrally located park would be nice for those of us not near the edges of town where the other two are located.

Convenience for people who live within walking distance of this location.

There is a need for a place for dogs to play in town, safe from people.

This is a historic area of the city. I would also be concerned about pollution of the river.

It would be great to have one closer than the others (we live in the center of town) and the more, the better. Also, the other existing ones can be quite muddy and the more use is distributed among more dog parks, the less each will get torn up

Because Olsen and Swift Run have no shade & are uncomfortable. Olsen is noisy and too windy. Maybe this park could remedy that.

I need to know first if this will increase my taxes. If it does not increase my taxes - where will the money be pulled from. Because City Council ignored residents re: the deer, I will not vote for anything that costs money.

Good location by downtown, easier to get to than Swift Run.

More dog parks are needed.

Dog rights.

It would improve the quality of life for many Ann Arbor citizens - dog ownership is common but areas to run them are few and far between

Swift Run large enough. Use for affordable housing

We have enough dog parks and don't want to encourage dogs in the non-dog areas of the parks -- which seems to be a huge problem.

It would be nice for the dog owners in the area

There are other uses for a park in this prime location that serves a larger need. I love my dog and all dogs but there is a reason they are out a bit. The area will be muddy and not well kept and there is a noise issue.

we need more of them!

More options for dogs, less need for many people to drive to it.

Where would users park?

Because I take my neighbor's dog for daily walks and it would be nice for us (Spatz and me) to visit other dogs and their owners in a safe and secure place.

Dog parks are a great part of a community that is becoming more and more urban - and Ann Arbor has park area to spare.

Central location, although I worry about enough parking.

Don't see the need for a third dog park

It is a much more convenient location to the center of town.

I completely agree that we need a dog park closer to downtown. It makes it easier for people to take their dogs out instead of having to drive far out of the city. I would use this dog park over swift run because it would be a lot more convenient. The only concern I would have is the parking situation. There would need to be easy free available parking like at swift run because we already have to pay for a permit and paying for parking every time you want to go to the park would always deter me.

No parking available adjacent to the dog park, which may create traffic and safety issues.

It would be great to have one for dogs and owners that live downtown and surrounding areas within walking distance and it would be great to have one at a midway point between the two existing parks.

Where to park?

Centrally located dog park would reduce incidence of loose dogs elsewhere.

I would LOVE a dog park in my general neighborhood -- where I don't have to drive to get to it! Fabulous idea.

Fully support.

Living in the downtown area, and not always having access to a car, we prefer walking to a dog park as the others are too far away. We end up using West Park, and others a lot. They are just more convenient.

We come into town (from the south side of AA) to walk the Argo loop or near the cascades - we love walking in that area. It would be great to have somewhere close to downtown and in walking distance from the parking near the dam.

A lot of people live near this park and would be well served by being able to walk to the park. The park is not well used currently.

I would appreciate the city thinking about dog-loving people and their dogs in their recreational spaces.

While I don't currently own a dog, I have previously and the convenience to downtown, as well as the layout for large and small dogs both look appealing.

I knew when the subject of a dog park in the downtown area started being bandied about that it would involve one of our Broadway area parks. An emphatic NO to this idea! No river area or any of our First Ward area parks should be converted to dog parks!

The areas around this particular park are dangerous enough for pedestrian crossings. Now we are supposed to endure dogs on the bridge and over the fast, heavily congested roads around this site?

People who have dogs should exercise them on a leash or in their fenced yards. If they want to run them in a park, they can take them to an outlying park that does not interfere with the uses that ordinary taxpayers want to make of the parks in their densely populated neighborhoods.

An aside: Are you planning ahead for housing developments on the DTE site and on the Lowertown site???

Not centrally located

This park is quite beautiful as it is, and would become unusable for all but dog owners. Also, you shouldn't have a dog park so near to the river, due to run-off of dog-fecal matter into the river. This is an environmentally unsound location for such a use.

We need these spaces as part of our community.

NO parking, entry by illegal pedestrian crossing of RR track from State, Gandy Dancer would hate it, canoeists would be annoyed and so would I.

Would definitely use it because it would be closer than the other two

It would be closer to my house.

Why not?

If neighbors support

It seems to be a relatively underutilized space now

Reduce the energy use of residents who would use a dog park within 1 or 2 miles from their homes.

## Need in-town dog parks

The rules for these parks are rarely enforced. Non or under vaccinated dogs, dogs with active intestinal parasites, etc. the eggs for intestinal parasites can live for years in the soil if patrons are visiting the park and fail to clean up after their animal! Ask any Veterinarian how many diseases are transmitted thru feces! We live near downtown and my husband brings our dog to work with him downtown. He could easily walk over at lunchtime and our dog would very much enjoy more interaction during the day.

Maybe fewer people would let their dogs off leash in other parks. Offers walking distance option for more people. Not located near existing homes. Fun for people to watch from the Gandy Dancer picture windows.

I think it is a great idea to have a park in town.

Olson Park dog park is way too small, and Swift run is too far, too muddy and too icy due to the uneven landscape. I also had my car broken into there.

It is more accessible than the other parks to those of us living closer to downtown.

More centralized

Need a dog park closer to campus and downtown.

I think it would be nice there for North-siders, but would still like one for people living near Pioneer High School. I live only a mile or so from Olson Dog Park and, due to the convenience, I use it regularly (and I regularly renew my dog's Olson Dog Park permit; you can check, if you'd like). I think dogs and dog owners who are able to visit a local Dog Park regularly have a tendency to embrace it and, thus, become more responsible and conscientious about "their" dog park. I'll continue to use Olson DP (because my dog and I have made many friends there) but I think dog-owners in the downtown area should also be able to experience the camaraderie and friendship of somewhere "local" to gather. I'm absolutely all for it!

I could walk my dogs there instead of having to go in my car. We need more areas for dogs to be able to play off-leash so that people don't take their dogs to school yards like Slauson. We need to separate dogs and their waste from areas where children and families play. Dog parks will increase outdoor activity and social cohesion in neighborhoods. We'll get to know each other better.

Great location choice--centrally located and not heavily used now

It is very close to my house and I go to Swift Run because of the area for smaller dogs.

While still almost two miles from our house (Dexter/Wildwood neighborhood), it is significantly closer than the other two.

I would love to have a place for my dog to play with other dogs closer to my home

Closer to my home

Dog parks are generally good for both dogs and people

Yes, simply because we need one located centrally in the city. Would prefer Wooster, but this is what we are left with.

Ann Arbor needs more places for dogs to be off leash. I pay a lot of \$\$ in property taxes every year & would like to spend some time in an AA park with my dog without feeling like a bad citizen for letting it off leash.

It's closer to where we live so it would be easy to get to.

It could be integrated into my daily walk and would be a great location.

We should not locate a dog park next to the river. We should try to maximize the use of the riverfront area for people to enjoy. I am not opposed to a dog park, but the location should be near where people don't want to congregate (near a busy road or highway perhaps?)

It is a beautiful park that is vastly underutilized. It is in my neighborhood, which is an area that needs more ways to bring the community together--which dog parks tend to do. It is within walking distance of many parts of the city. I think it's a fabulous idea!

Recently moved closer to the Broadway location.

need more city dog parks

the other parks are too far to walk to from my residence. It also has river access

Dog parks are NOT all that they are represented to be - they contribute to behavioral problems and may act as sites for the spread of diseases that affect dogs.

Money needs to be allocated elsewhere. There are so many other needs and even this money earmarked for parks and rec, can and should be redirected to other projects under parks and rec.

A more centrally located park in Ann Arbor would be a great social and recreational activity for the many dog-owners located in the area. The location at Broadway park is perfectly suited.

It would be a convenient location.

It is convenient to where I live. I also like that it is near the river. Is there access for dogs to swim?

Wrong side of the city

I would completely support if leash laws would be enforced in other parks. Creating a dog park without enforcing leash laws is pointless and a waste of space and money

Much more convenient!

It is closer to my home and my dog really needs to run and play to get his energy out.

I go to Swift Run. It's nice, but the trees are not mature enough to provide shade in the summer or a windbreak in the winter. It would also be nice to have a potable water source for drinking water for the dogs. I would probably go to the new park if it's accessible (parking) and comfortable. However, I think the city should provide the option of one dog tag for all city parks. I'm not going to pay for two or three separate dog tags.

I like the area and that section of town and I love the idea of another park for dogs!

It's more convenient for people who live in Ann Arbor (since the other two parks are so far from downtown) and those without cars could access easier.

This is a great location. Much closer to my residence, and a more attractive environment than the other parks.  
Much closer to home

I have a very high-energy German Shepherd who needs the dog park to blow off steam. Moreover, I have met dozens of A2 residents at Swift Run dog park who (like me) face a 15-minute drive there and back -- 20 minutes in traffic. This turns a 30-minute trip to the dog park into a 1hr+ long affair. So many A2 residents with dogs young and old, big and small, would gain a \*tremendous\* amount of utility from the proposed Broadway park. Thanks so much! My contact info is sbwolin@umich.edu. I would like to vigorously support the proposal in any way possible. I live near this park. I think because parking is not adjacent to this park that it will be used by more of the local residents and not create much traffic congestion. I also love that it will help to eliminate the problem this park currently has with an infestation of geese. It is currently unpleasant to walk through there because the warm weather times are full of goose poop. I can also imagine that this dog run will have visitors at more times of the day than the park currently sees. Therefore the safety of the park will be even better. I think this location is a win all around and if nearby residents or the restaurant are not fans, privacy hedges could be planted around the dog park fencing. I'll volunteer to help do the planting.

I currently pay for a pass to use both dog parks but have only visiting Olsen with my dog. I'd pay more to go to Broadway Park with a dog run.

Much closer to my home.

As a paddler, having concentrated feces within 100' of the river is very bad idea.

This site by the river is bad for water quality. The runoff with e.coli is not advised.

I don't think a new dog park is going to do anything all that special for the city in the long-run. Use that money and invest in the future of your citizens.

closer to home, like the idea of a small dog area.

We really need an in town dog park. Somewhere walkable.

It's inconvenient for those who live on the west side of town. Why would I drive all the way to that park when Olson is so close to me?

We need a dog park downtown

much closer to our house.

It destroys the park for people without dogs who want to use it.

We could walk to the dog park at Broadway Park. Currently, we are forced to drive to Olsen Park dog park.

As long as there is room for future, trail access and nonmotorized connections.

if that is the best location

But the Westside of town has tons of dogs & it's a long drive to all existing parks. Something closer for those of us west of Seventh?

Its closer to the city and in a good spot that I don't believe is utilized allot as a park anyway!

central location

yes, but parking is a concern. a location with parking would be even better.

Closer to my house

Our streets are in terrible shape. The money would be better spent on that or other infrastructure.

Can walk to the park from my home.

Too many owners are careless with their dogs and don't keep them under control. Has a negative effect on both other dogs and children.

It's closer to where I live, I would revamp the area, it's a great idea!

The other two dog parks are very far on the edges of town and inconvenient to get to, meaning that I utilize their services far less frequently than I would like to.

It is set back from major roads, connected to many parks by running/biking paths, not heavily used open space, and removed from any major residential areas.

I would love another dog park, but not at this park. The west side is a better location, and there is no parking here and no easy and safe way to get from area parking to the park - especially walking a dog.

It would be a great place for a dog park.

Closer to me

Animals are residents of this city same as humans. They need more space to run free and enjoy their life.

The location is convenient

Yes. But would prefer a site further upstream - like under the highway near whir more lake road by the river.

Easier parking and dogs could swim. Need swimming dogs! Thanks for doing the work!!

Downtown dogs need a park too!

It's more convenient to me.

It's centrally located

We need one closer to our home on the West side

Centrally located.

It would be nice to have one more centrally located. We live near Vets and wish there was something on the west side of town.

Great idea to get more people visiting the park. Maybe the city will finally gave to do something about the homeless camps in the woods there.

My dog LOVES going to parks to play! The more the merrier!

The existing ones are too far from my home and not convenient.

Central location; under-used park.

It seems like a less than good location. Size of park is too small. Access is not very easy.

Location much more convenient. Has very important small dog part!

Urban location for dog owners is great!

Important to be near downtown. Not a lot of good places to walk your dogs and a lot more residents that try to stay more downtown

How will you ensure that there will not be runoff from the park into the Huron River?

I live on the OWS the other dog parks are too far away. i think this would be phenomenal for those who live close to the city

Absolutely! Ann Arbor needs more such areas... and in places that are not in far flung places.

its a more convenient location

I like the proximity to town and that I could walk there. It can be a deterrent having to trek out to Swift Run. I also like that there appear to be trees. Swift Run can feel like a desolate wasteland.

Good location. Seems like an under-used park. I wish AA would open restaurants/cafes (somewhere) along the river where one could sit and admire the Huron.

Centrally located, easy to walk to from downtown.

The runoff into the river would be like an open septic tank draining into the river thus causing a multitude of environmental problems. You need to find an alternate location that won't pollute our waterways.

I would like to have a park I don't have to drive to.

We need a centrally located dog park, especially because Ann Arbor city planning encourages density and auto-less living.

We need more access to good places to access our dogs.

Will there be parking available??

It meets the demand people have expressed for "near downtown" but yet it's not a park that currently gets heavy traffic. Plus, it's near the river, which would be an added plus for some dogs. Will parking be a problem, though?

I am not totally against this. I worry about a concentration of dogs next to the river. This part of the Huron has hundreds of people canoeing and kayaking through there every day in the summer and as a fisherman I see many kayaks tip and many people eating and drinking through there. I worry about fecal coliform levels

undecided

The area would be nice for a dog park.

But I like the undisturbed area. And wonder if the dogs would create disturbance to such a serene area

The dog parks in Ann Arbor are a joke. Way too far away and the permitting process is ridiculous. No other city requires a special permit to access dog parks.

Much more convenient

Only 2 in town? not enough.

Seems like a currently underused open space, with many other B2B Trail areas nearby for other forms of recreation.

Swift Run is so barren and muddy a good portion of the year.

Having a dog park near apartment housing will benefit dogs that don't have yards or safe space to run and play with its owner and other pets. My dog is a senior so we don't have a need for a dog park. If I get a puppy, I would consider using a it.

I don't have a dog but I love knowing there is somewhere they can run free.

Dog parks are problematic overall in terms of behavioral and other issues. Not a fan.

Most convenient

Two dog parks would appear to be sufficient for this city

Great location, centrally located. Only concern would be parking availability

Needed in that area

But I think there are better locations, ones that are bigger and still have trees, access to water. To have a park "near downtown" limits the size and I think size, acreage is very important,

Too far.

More conveniently located than the others.

Too far

In general I would support more dog parks. The information provided does not give enough information on how the site would be used (layout of fenced area & parking) or accessed by car and by foot.

Closer to home. Beautiful locale for a dog park. Keeps dog excrement off the many surrounding foot & bike paths.

Puts that vacant area to good use.

closer to my home nice setting near river

In the past, residents who've lived near proposed dog park locations have been opposed to opening a dog park near where they live. That wouldn't be a problem at Broadway Park.

only advantage is being near Huron river, maybe more scenic and more quiet/secluded

A downtown park with access to river trails makes a lot of sense. We need to do more to accommodate dog owners. I'm concerned about the use of school playgrounds for unofficial dog meet ups, given that the city does not maintain those spaces.

It'd be more centrally located. I live closer to Olson Park but go to Swift Run because I hate that Olson Park is gravel and not grass.

A near downtown option would be great for residents.

Poor parking and access to park.

As an employee at the Gandy Dancer I very often see people illegally walking along and across the train tracks near the amtrak station. I fear that the city owned parking lot underneath the Plymouth rd/ Beakes rd Bridge would be come the default parking lot for the new park and would encourage more people to walk across the train tracks which could pose as a safety threat. Other than that, I am not against the dog park being in this location. much closer to where I live.

Yes, but with some reservations, namely whether there is parking (while Broadway would be much closer to my home--near the Stadium--than either of the current dog parks, at 2.5 miles one way, it's not someplace I'd always walk to).

It's closer to my home than Swift Run

A dog park on the south / west side of the downtown area would be nice as well.

People who do not have access to transportation to get to the other parks would have a place for their dogs to run.

I would go much more regularly if a park was close to home.

I am always looking for new places to take my dog to play

Could walk to/from the park. Near nice walking trails. Central location = easier access = more dogs = more fun.

Build it more towards the west side

No places downtown for our best friends to walk off leash!

We need more, not fewer, dog parks.

This location would be a lot closer. Currently we have to drive 15-20 minutes to either of the current locations.

The larger dog area is too small on this site. Omit the small dog area because they can and do use the large side at Swift Run, and the small dog area is frequently empty at Swift Run. What not fence in ball diamonds in neighborhood parks (like Buhr) and allow off leash dogs when not in use for games and practices? This is a lot of land used by a small group for only a part of the spring/summer season, and both uses could be supported in neighborhood parks if gates were added to open fence line.

uncommitted, since I don't know all the options considered.

Not accessible - for example, where will I park? Does not seem safe-appears to be a dark place. Also not close to my house on the west side of A2, near Vets Park.

another option is always great!

Close to home

I think it would be great to have a dog park close to downtown Ann Arbor.

would love a centrally located park!

Please! It would be amazing to have a dog park closer to town!!! Driving to swift run from my old west side home is a drag.

It would be great to be able to walk my dog to the dog park. I hate having to drive there.

I would support ONLY if police respond and ticket the people letting their dogs run loose in Bird Hills and Burns Park, Forsythe/Wines and other school yards and parks.

runoff from dog waste would pollute huron river; dogs would disrupt bike and walking path

Special interest use by one group of citizens. Renders the area useless for the rest of us. Prime area when former DTE area developed won't be available to the whole public.

I would support it but recognize that it is far too small. I might not use it as it's no larger than my back yard

Feces may get washed into river if not picked up by owner

we need more dog parks

Great location for dogs near downtown.

It's better than some of the alternatives that have been proposed.

Closer. I'm an old Westside resident

Yes, because it's an underutilized city park area and this is a great use for that space!!

I use to go to Swift Run but it's poorly regulated. I have seen people get into fights, at least one dog has been killed there, no rules are ever enforced. If there were better policies and they were actually enforced then I'd support it but given the track record I don't have much faith.

good central location

Would like to see neighbors who routinely let their dogs off-leash on the streets and in (non-dog) parks, use the dog parks!

Very close to Huron River - potential for on-going fecal contamination risks.

More options to take my dog as well as distance from my home

Lots of dogs downtown, they need a place to run.

Would like one I do not have to drive to.

It is a little-used park currently. Would suggest also looking into including a "single dog" park space like they have in western states - just a smaller fenced run. So many people have dogs that aren't a good fit for dog parks but don't have the opportunity to ever run free off leash - this would be a nice addition to the current dog parks in the community and appreciated by many owners.

Both Olson and SR are too far away from downtown.

This location is so much closer to where I live. My dog and I would have an easier time getting here. She goes to doggie daycare twice a week and would love to socialize with more dogs, close by!

I love dogs!

I would like there to be a park closer to downtown, so I don't have to drive to a dog park.

A location closer to downtown would be wonderful.

Wakability

Walking distance from my home.

I really support another dog park, but not at this location. There is no parking and it is too secluded.

I live very close and could walk to it! The others require putting my dog in the car and driving him.

This location would serve an underserved population that may have difficulty getting to the two current parks which are located on the borders of town.

A dog park at water's edge seems like a bad idea. Pet feces comprise significant urban environment pollution. Runoff has only a short distance to go, in order to pollute the Huron River and degrade the natural ecosystems. I would rather see a dog park in downtown

Ann Arbor. This works in many cities including DC and LA and I imagine with the influx of people living downtown it would be well used. The park in DuPont Circle in DC is smaller than Liberty Plaza but still gives dogs a chance to socialize off leash.

It's close to home and will have a small dog section.

Both of the other dog parks require driving from my home- to have a dog park within walking distance would be invaluable.

It is centrally located and a more convenient location for me than the other two city dog parks.

Closer

It's a central area for downtown dog owners to go. Olson and Swift are on the outskirts of town, and it's no fun driving with a dirty dog.

We would like another park near our home on the northside. In traffic it takes almost a half hour to get to swift run. Olsen is a nice park, just a little small for our 70lb husky

It's a great area and perfect for nature loving dogs to let loose, especially dogs living downtown or in surrounding areas that are typically confined to backyards and walks on leashes.

Would be nice to have something close to downtown so you could actually walk your dog there instead of planning and budgeting a road trip.

I live downtown on Liberty st and it is really inconvenient to drive almost 20 minutes to get to the dog park. Olson is closer by a bit, but not much and does not keep big and little dogs separated. I own a 110lb great pyrenees pup and though he is good with other dogs, when little dogs start to play with him, their owners seem to get nervous.

So I like the separate big dog area.

Yes, that looks to be more convenient to me than the other 2 locations.

Need a dog park accessible by walking from campus/medcenter

It would be great to have another park that is centrally located. It would be even better if the dogs would be allowed to go in the river!!

Another dog park in Ann Arbor would be great, and the Broadway Park is nice since it is also a nice area to go for leashed walks before/after.

But my concerns are: parking for people who need to drive there; railroad tracks are close; river is close; busy Broadway St is right there.

I like the fact that there are small dog and a large dog areas. I don't use Olsen park even though it is closer for me because there is not a small dog area. Park should provide water.

I can walk to that location, and it is a pleasant spot already. I rarely go to swift run as it is a 20 minute drive, and no value for me to enjoy being outdoors.

I would love to have one closer to downtown!

Closer to downtown where I live.



Yes! It's within walking distance!

it would ruin this location for other activities

Great location.

Easy to walk to that area from a number of neighborhoods and would be especially cool if the park would include river access. Hot days are no fun at the other parks.

The more dog parks the better.

We live just south of the university and prefer to stay more central.

I can walk there. I don't use dog parks often, so I may or may not use it.

Either way, it would be a good thing to see. So many apartments for college kids, not enough run space for apartment dogs.

It would be nice to have one more centrally located in town.

More dog parks are always a good thing!

Walking distance from home

It's a short walk from my home and I have a 1 year old dog that we plan on registering to use all Ann Arbor dog parks

A closer dog park is a very desirable thing. Would there be enough parking?

If it's free

Can always use more dog parks.

No parking

Not if it prevented a non-motorized path from running along the south bank of the river to planned connections over by Fuller. If you leave enough room for a path, then I would support.

I run/bike near there and am not interested in doing so near or through a dog park.

Good location. Under-used park.

I do not have a dog but I have friends that do and I think it would be great for them.

It is more centrally located near my house.

Under-utilized park, and for a "central" park, it has very few neighbors to complain. I worry a bit about dog excrement so near to the river, though, and so would want that to be considered/addressed by water resources staff to make sure we aren't (further) polluting the river.

Not clear where people would park while at the dog park.

I used Olson park all the time when I had a dog that needed to run. I love dogs. Even though I don't plan to get another one, I think another dog park is needed, more centrally located. It's a feature that will enhance Ann Arbor quality of life. If ever do get a dog I would use it.

We already spend a great deal of time with our dog in the Argo Rapids area and this would be a convenient location.

Seems like a very reasonable process was used -- recommendations were formed, guidelines were formed, different areas were ranked, and this was the highest ranked area near downtown. Logical and transparent. (But, we want to protect the river from bacteria and nutrients -- seems like it would be more important that owners pick up more diligently here.)

Extreme apartment/condo building in AA. Need for residents to have a place to exercise and play out doors with their dogs.

I run with my dog at Bandemere park and it would be great to let him off leash at the start or end of the run.

it is good for socialization and exercise to let a dog run off leash with other dogs

It would be a valuable asset for centrally located city residents, and Broadway Park seems to be an underutilized asset in its current configuration.

It's too pretty to mess up.

Only with ongoing maintenance and care of the large trees in the area. While not much used by foot, it is a beautiful, visible site, and would require good management of rash, etc. Consider also use of Fuller Park, south of Fuller Pool. Similar lack of neighbors, and more open space is available.

This location allows a great many dog owners to have access to a dog park without having to drive to the site.

Dog parks are desperately needed in Ann Arbor; parks that are close to where the dogs live, not across town

Many people who live in the downtown area might not have access to transportation to take their dogs to Olson Park or Swift Run. This would be more convenient.

seems like there are more underserved parts of town for this type of park  
I support having spaces where dogs can run off-leash with other dogs. When we had a dog, we had a fenced in yard and invited dog owner friends over, but most people are not so lucky.  
much nearer to my house  
it is needed

It is a quiet place near to the DDA where sanity can be found. Also, this is a wetland resting place for many aquatic fowl. Putting a dog park here will put dogs and fowl together, and disease from wild fowl will be transmitted easily to dogs via fowl feces that is rarely cleaned up in Broadway park.

It's a good location for dogs & dog owners to socialize.

Dog parks are fun to watch, and they're needed; that's a good use of this underused space, \*IF\* you don't cut down a lot of trees. I also would approve a dog park at 721 N. Main.

It's centrally located and very close to my house.

Because it is easier for me to get to with my location and schedule. I run from Zingerman's every weekend and would love to take the dog to a park close by . . . .

very close to where i live

Probably only if it had access to the Huron River so dogs could fetch and swim. I take friend's dogs to Mill Creek dog park in Saline, which is on Mill Creek.

I believe dog parks create a safe environment for exercise and socialization of dogs.

Because it's within walking distance. I'm not going to drive to a dog park.

Dogs and humans would benefit.

I would support a dog park at Broadway Park, it is a great location that would not directly affect any residential, or business property, and would compliment the underutilized space.

central location, easy to walk to from downtown with dog

The park is under utilized. The would be a great way to bring people together and contact to the river. Also I hope the dogs will chase off the geese.

we need more places for dogs to get exercise in a controlled manner

close to downtown, relatively easy to access.

People need a dog park over there.

More central location.

It would provide a location close to downtown for dog owners to be able to allow their dogs to run.

The City needs more dog parks.

A few reasons actually;

(1) There are already well-documented issues with parking in this general area on weekends in the spring/Fall and especially Weekends/weekdays in the summer. Adding these potential park patrons would just make this issue worse.

(2) This is a very nice natural park, which would lose it's luster when turned into a dog park (The other two dog parks are not the most aesthetically pleasing).

(3) In this area along the Huron River exists a large community of wildlife (birds, geese, swans, ducks, beavers, groundhogs etc. etc.) that would all be afraid of a group of dogs and would be relocated.

I enjoy that area and would rather not have it taken over by dogs.

Why not? Dogs are cool, and the space could be used to make them happy.

Proximity to my house - I could walk the dogs there!

Yes, but only because I support the continued growth and expansion of dog parks in the Ann Arbor area. I am however very disappointed at this location. It still does NOTHING to help the dog owners on the west side. What happened to the west side proposals? I'm so disappointed.

It would be great to have a dog park near downtown.

Seems like a good location. It would allow me and many other folk who live in downtown area to easily walk to the park, rather than drive.

good location, currently under-used

Perfect location for down town and near down town owners

I don't understand the need for one.

This is the first I have heard about this park being used, and I think it's a brilliant idea. It's by the river, and it's not currently be used for anything other than as a homeless camp.

Runoff of dog faeces into the Huron River by having the dog-park next to the water is a problem.

There is already a dog park on the North side of town

There needs to be a larger dog park than Olson. My concern with this area is the large geese usage population and geese poop carries a number of bacteria and parasites.

How will geese be kept out of this area?

How will the current poop be cleaned up to prevent dogs getting sick?

Is this site larger than Olson? If not, I most likely will not use it.

Broadway Park has a more desirable location for me.

There is very little convenient, available, or free parking.

cool location - seems pretty central and walkable from downtown

We live next to Eberwhite woods and people routinely let their dogs run off leash there and it's a real problem with small kids. Somewhere closer to downtown is needed.

Close to downtown would make it easy for central dog owners to use it. It would probably reduce off leash dogs in other areas where they are not supposed to be.

Much closer to my home, we could walk there rather than drive.

It would be closer for me (selfish reason) and add another amenity to the downtown area making it more livable.

Closer to where I live

This is a perfect spot for a dog park! An area that rarely gets use as it is currently, is close to downtown and has access to both shade and water! I do hope this gets approved!

This is a perfect spot for a dog park! An area that rarely gets use as it is currently, is close to downtown and has access to both shade and water! I do hope this gets approved!